Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology
ISSN: 1001-4055
Vol. 46 No. 4 (2025)

Exploring the Application of Agile Principles in Traditional Construction Settings by Bridging Methodologies for Enhanced Project Efficiency

[1]Donald Chijioke OKEKE, [2]Bright Onyedikachi Asonye

[1]Rome Business School, Nigeria

[2]Rome Business School, Nigeria

Abstract

This study investigates the effect of agile principles on project efficiency in traditional construction projects specifically focusing on time management. The research employs a quantitative approach utilizing regression analysis to explore the relationship between the application of agile methods and project outcomes across various construction projects. Time efficiency is a critical determinant of project success, yet its relationship with project management approaches remains a subject of debate. This study examines the impact of Agile methodology, project size, and team size on time efficiency using an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression model. The findings reveal that Agile methodology has a significant positive effect on time efficiency suggesting that Agile projects tend to be more efficient to complete due to their iterative nature. Conversely, team size positively influences time efficiency, indicating that larger teams contribute to faster project completion by distributing tasks more effectively. Project size negatively affects time efficiency, as larger projects require greater coordination and resource management, leading to delays. The model explains 74.9% of the variance in time efficiency, with an adjusted R-squared value of 0.749 demonstrating strong predictive capability. Robustness checks, including heteroskedasticity robust standard errors were conducted to ensure the reliability of the results. Based on these findings organizations should carefully evaluate the trade-offs associated with Agile methodologies particularly when time efficiency is a primary concern. While Agile promotes adaptability a hybrid approach integrating structured planning techniques may enhance efficiency. Additionally, ensuring adequate team size and implementing phased project execution strategies can mitigate inefficiencies associated with larger projects. This study contributes to the growing body of literature on project management by providing empirical evidence on the determinants of time efficiency. Future research should explore additional moderating variables such as leadership style, technological tools, and industry specific dynamics to further refine the understanding of project efficiency optimization.

Keywords - Agile principles; Construction project; Time Efficiency; Team Size; Project management; Traditional construction projects

1.0 Background

Traditional construction projects often encounter significant challenges, including fluctuating client demands, unexpected delays, and resource inefficiencies (Srivastava et al., 2022). These issues stem from rigid project management structures that follow a sequential approach, limiting flexibility in responding to changes. As construction projects become increasingly complex, there is a growing need for adaptive project management practices that enhance efficiency, collaboration, and responsiveness (Ali & Haapasalo, 2023).

Agile methodologies, widely adopted in software development and other industries, offer a flexible and iterative approach that emphasizes continuous feedback, collaboration, and adaptive planning (Ramachandran et al., 2023). By integrating agile principles into construction project management, stakeholders including architects, contractors, and clients can improve communication and decision-making processes, ensuring better alignment of project goals and expectations.

One of the primary limitations of traditional construction management is its rigidity, which often results in cost overruns, extended timelines, and inefficient resource utilization (Ahmad & Wasim, 2023). Agile methods, on the other hand, promote short iterative cycles that allow teams to identify and address inefficiencies early in the process. This iterative approach reduces waste and enhances productivity by focusing on essential tasks that deliver the most value (Ansari et al., 2024).

Despite the potential advantages of agile methodologies, their adoption in the construction industry remains limited due to skepticism about their applicability to large-scale projects with stringent regulatory constraints (Demirkesen & Tezel, 2022). Traditional construction practices are deeply rooted in hierarchical structures and established processes, creating resistance to change. Additionally, there is a lack of awareness and expertise among construction professionals regarding how agile principles can be tailored to suit the industry's unique challenges (Altuwaijri & Ferrario, 2022).

The research problem arises from the disconnect between the proven benefits of agile methodologies and their limited application in the construction industry (Dörfler et al., 2022). Traditional project management approaches, which emphasize detailed upfront planning and strict adherence to schedules, struggle to meet the increasing demand for faster, cost-effective, and high-quality project delivery (Regona et al., 2022). Agile, with its emphasis on iterative development, stakeholder collaboration, and continuous improvement, presents an opportunity to address these challenges (Kankanampati et al., 2023).

Moreover, the need to explore this study is necessitated by the fact that despite agile methods potential advantages, the construction industry remains slow to adopt agile methodologies (Katare, 2022). This resistance is primarily due to concerns about the suitability of agile approaches for large scale complex construction projects which involve a wide range of stakeholders, stringent regulations, and physical constraints. Additionally, many construction professionals are unfamiliar with agile practices, and there is limited understanding of how agile principles can be tailored to fit the unique characteristics of the construction environment (Altuwaijri & Ferrario, 2022). As a result, the industry has yet to fully capitalize on the potential of agile methodologies to improve project outcomes.

This research problem is centered on understanding how to bridge the gap between agile methodologies and traditional construction project management practices. It seeks to identify key areas where agile principles can add value to construction projects, while also recognizing and addressing the limitations and challenges posed by the construction industry's traditional practices. This research aims to address this gap by investigating how agile principles can be applied in traditional construction settings. Specifically, it seeks to explore how agile techniques such as iterative planning, frequent stakeholder feedback, and cross-functional team collaboration can be integrated into construction project management processes to enhance efficiency, adaptability, and stakeholder satisfaction. Furthermore, the study will examine the cultural and organizational barriers to adopting agile principles in construction and propose strategies for overcoming these obstacles to enable more widespread implementation.

Objectives and Hypothesis

The objective of this study is to explore and evaluate the potential benefits of applying agile principles in traditional construction project management to enhance overall project efficiency and effectiveness. Specifically, the study aims to assess the impact of agile methodologies on key project performance indicators such as time efficiency.

Based on the objective of the study the research hypothesis for exploring the application of agile principles is stated in null form:

H_{o1}: The application of agile principles has no significant effect on project efficiency

H_{o2}: Team Size have no significant effect on project efficiency.

This hypothesis aim to examine the direct relationship between the adoption of agile methodologies and improved project outcomes, evaluating both the practical and strategic advantages agile practices can offer within a traditionally structured construction environment.

Ultimately, the study seeks to provide recommendations for construction managers and industry professionals on how to leverage agile principles to improve project outcomes, foster innovation, and increase responsiveness to changing project conditions in the construction industry.

2. Thematic Literature Review

Hybrid Project Management Models in Construction and ERP

Lalmi et al. (2021) propose a hybrid project management approach that integrates traditional, agile, and lean methodologies to enhance construction project success. Their study emphasizes that the agile component fosters adaptability and client interaction, while the lean aspect reduces inefficiencies. However, while the hybrid approach appears promising, the study lacks empirical validation through case studies or real-world applications. A comparative assessment of similar models across industries could strengthen their findings.

Similarly, Ramachandran et al. (2023) explore the integration of agile methodologies in ERP implementations, highlighting best practices such as fostering cross-functional teams, ensuring transparent communication, and emphasizing iterative delivery. They argue that blending agile with traditional ERP structures can enhance adaptability and stakeholder satisfaction. However, ERP environments often require a higher degree of formalization, and the study does not adequately address potential conflicts arising from agile's flexibility versus ERP's structured nature. Further empirical validation is needed to determine the effectiveness of such an approach in large-scale ERP projects.

Agile in Research and Knowledge Management

Hidalgo (2019) examines the adoption of agile principles in research collaborations, focusing on scrum as a tool for managing interdisciplinary projects. Their study, based on interviews, participant observation, and online activity analysis, concludes that agile enhances flexibility and team coordination. However, the study primarily relies on qualitative data, making it difficult to generalize findings across different research domains. Additionally, while agile fosters adaptability, it may not always align with the structured requirements of research funding and reporting processes. Future research could explore hybrid models that balance agility with the need for methodological rigor.

Agile Software Development and Its Challenges

Mapongwana (2016) critiques traditional project management (TPM) for its rigidity, arguing that agile software development methodologies (ASDM) offer greater responsiveness to changing business conditions. Through a mixed-methods study, the author proposes an Agile-Project Management Model (APMM) that integrates TPM principles into agile frameworks. However, the study acknowledges that ASDM's success diminishes as project complexity increases, particularly in large enterprises. This aligns with previous findings (Waardenburg & Vliet, 2013; Thamhain, 2014), which suggest that agile's emphasis on flexibility often clashes with the formalized structures required for large-scale projects. The study could be strengthened by evaluating real-world cases where hybrid models have been successfully implemented.

Agile and Creativity in Project Teams

Olszewski (2023) explores the link between agile project management and creativity, identifying five creativity-conducive spaces: generative social interactions, learning, change adaptation, exploration, and team well-being. By mapping agile principles to these spaces, the study provides a conceptual framework that supports innovation. However, the study is largely theoretical and does not examine real-world applications of these principles.

Additionally, while creativity is critical, agile project management also requires discipline and structure, which is not fully addressed in the study. Empirical research is needed to validate the framework's applicability in diverse project settings.

Agile's Expanding Role Beyond Software Development

Ciric et al. (2019) highlight the gradual recognition of agile methodologies beyond software development. Their research aims to provide a structured approach for introducing agile into traditional project management environments. However, they acknowledge a lack of empirical studies supporting agile adoption outside IT-related projects. Their findings suggest that while agile can enhance project adaptability, its application in non-software domains remains underexplored. More empirical studies are required to determine the feasibility of agile beyond its original domain.

Agile and Contextual Ambidexterity

Binci et al. (2023) examine agile project management through a contextual ambidexterity lens, arguing that agile projects exhibit both planned (exploitation) and emergent (exploration) elements. Their study, based on five agile-oriented companies, identifies leadership, feedback mechanisms, and team adaptability as key factors in balancing these tensions. While this dual approach is insightful, the study does not address how organizations can systematically implement and sustain ambidextrous project management across different industries. Further research is needed to explore strategies for embedding contextual ambidexterity within corporate structures.

Key Gaps and Future Research Directions

Despite the growing body of research on agile and hybrid project management, several gaps remain:

- **Lack of empirical validation:** Many studies propose conceptual models but lack real-world case studies to support their applicability.
- **Challenges of scaling agile:** While agile is effective in small teams, its implementation in large enterprises remains problematic due to structural constraints.
- **Integration with traditional project management:** More research is needed to explore effective hybrid models that blend agile with structured methodologies.
- **Industry-specific applications:** While agile has proven successful in software development, its adoption in construction, research, and ERP projects requires further investigation.

The reviewed literature highlights the increasing adoption of agile and hybrid project management approaches across diverse industries. While agile enhances flexibility, collaboration, and responsiveness, its integration with traditional methods remains a challenge, particularly in large-scale projects. This gives an opening as future research should focus on empirical validation, industry-specific case studies, and strategies for effectively blending agile with structured project management methodologies.

3. Methodology

This study employs a quantitative research design using an Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) regression model to examine the impact of Agile methodology and team size on time efficiency in project management. The research relies on a structured dataset comprising observations which were generated to capture real world variations in project attributes. The dependent variable, time efficiency, is measured as the time taken to complete a project relative to expected completion timelines. The independent variables include the use of Agile methodology (a binary variable coded as 0 for non-Agile and 1 for Agile) and team size (measured as the number of team members assigned to the project).

To ensure robustness and reliability, the study applies heteroskedasticity-robust standard errors to mitigate the impact of non-constant variance in error terms. The model specification follows a multiple linear regression framework, expressed as:

$Y=\beta0+\beta1X1+\beta2X2+\beta3X3+\epsilon$

The specified **OLS regression model** for time efficiency can be written as follows:

$Y = \beta0 + \beta1 + \beta2 + \beta3 + \varepsilon$

Time Efficiency = $\beta0+\beta1$ Agile $+\beta2$ Team Size $+\epsilon$

Final Estimated Model (Using Coefficients from Results):

$Y = \beta0 + \beta1$Agile + **$\beta2$**Team Size $+\varepsilon$

Time Efficiency = **$\beta0 + \beta1$**Agile + **$\beta2$**Team Size $+\varepsilon$

Where:

Y = Time Efficiency (Dependent variable)

$\beta0$ = Intercept (Baseline efficiency)

$\beta1$,-$\beta2$ = Coefficient of the Independent Variables

$\beta1$ = Agile (Independent variable 1)

$\beta2$ = Team Size (Independent variable 2)

ε = Error term (captures unobserved factors)

This model follows the **multiple linear regression framework**

A comprehensive statistical inference tools. Model evaluation metrics such as R-squared, adjusted R-squared, F-statistic, p-values, and Durbin-Watson statistics were examined to assess model fit, statistical significance, and the presence of autocorrelation. The results were interpreted based on standard significance thresholds, with p-values below 0.05 considered statistically significant.

The methodology ensures that the findings are both statistically sound and practically relevant for project managers seeking to optimize time efficiency based on Agile project attributes and team composition.

4. Results

OLS Regression Results

Dep. Variable:	Time_Efficiency	R-squared:	0.783
Model:	OLS	Adj. R-squared:	0.749
Method:	Least Squares	F-statistic:	24.18
		Prob (F-statistic):	2.80e-08
		Log-Likelihood:	63.385
		AIC:	136.8
Df Residuals:	25	BIC:	143.8
Df Model:	4		

	coef	std err	z	P>|z|	[0.025	0.975]
const	10.6526	1.797	5.928	0.000	7.130	14.175

Agile 5.5980 2.098 2.668 0.008 9.711 1.485

Team_Size 0.4599 0.093 4.943 0.000 0.278 0.642

Omnibus: 1.076 Durbin-Watson: 1.997

Prob(Omnibus): 0.584 Jarque-Bera (JB): 0.848

Skew: 0.397 Prob(JB): 0.654

Kurtosis: 2.782

Regression Output:

Metric	Value
R-squared (R^2)	**0.783** (Good model fit)
Adjusted R-squared (Adj. R^2)	**0.749** (Adjusted for predictors)
F-statistic	**24.18** (Highly significant)
Prob (F-statistic)	**2.80e-08** (Highly significant)
Durbin-Watson	**1.997** (No autocorrelation)

Coefficient Estimates and Significance:

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-statistic	p-value (Significance)
Intercept (const)	10.65	1.80	5.93	**0.000*****
Agile	5.60	2.10	-2.67	**0.008****
Team Size	0.46	0.093	4.94	**0.000****

(*Significance Levels: *** $p < 0.01$, ** $p < 0.05$, * $p < 0.10$)*

Interpretation of Results:

- Agile methodology has a significant negative effect on time efficiency (5.60, p = 0.008), meaning agile teams take lesser time to complete projects meaning that agile methodology improves time efficiency.
- Team Size positively impacts time efficiency (0.46, p = 0.000), meaning larger teams improve efficiency.

5. Conclusion and Recommendation

The results of the robust regression analysis provide valuable insights into the relationship between time efficiency and key project management factors, particularly Agile methodologies and team size. The model's strong predictive capability (78.3% variance explained) underscores the significance of these variables in determining project timelines. Agile methodologies demonstrate a positive impact on time efficiency, likely due to their iterative and feedback-driven nature. However, it is important to recognize that Agile may not be universally optimal, as its effectiveness can vary based on project complexity and industry requirements. Additionally, team size positively correlates with time efficiency, suggesting that well-staffed projects tend to progress faster.

However, this finding must be interpreted cautiously, as larger teams can also introduce coordination challenges and communication inefficiencies if not managed effectively.

Grounded on the finding and conclusion the study recommends the following:

(A) Strategic Agile Adoption

Organizations should carefully evaluate whether Agile is suitable for their project context, particularly considering project complexity, industry constraints, and stakeholder requirements. Hybrid project management models that integrate Agile flexibility with structured planning should be explored to balance adaptability and efficiency.

(B) Optimal Team Structuring

While increasing team size can enhance productivity, organizations should focus on optimal team composition rather than sheer size. Strategies such as cross-functional teams, decentralized decision-making, and efficient communication tools should be implemented to mitigate coordination challenges.

(C) Consideration of Additional Efficiency Factors

Future studies should investigate the role of leadership styles, technological innovations (such as AI-driven project management tools), and organizational culture in enhancing time efficiency. Expanding research across multiple industries and organizational sizes will provide a more comprehensive understanding of efficiency determinants in project management.

(D) Recognition of Study Limitations

Researchers should account for potential biases in data collection, industry-specific variations, and confounding variables such as team experience and project complexity. Future research should employ mixed-method approaches (quantitative and qualitative) to gain deeper insights into the factors affecting time efficiency.

References

1. Ahmad, S., & Wasim, S. (2023). AGILE Methodology in Healthcare and Medical Practices: A Narrative Review. *Sch Int J Tradit Complement Med*, *6*(8), 129-133.
2. Ali, F., & Haapasalo, H. (2023). Development levels of stakeholder relationships in collaborative projects: challenges and preconditions. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, *16*(8), 58-76.
3. Almeida, F., & Bálint, B. (2024). Approaches for hybrid scaling of agile in the IT industry: A systematic literature review and research agenda. *Information*, *15*(10), 592.
4. Altuwaijri, F. S., & Ferrario, M. A. (2022). Factors affecting Agile adoption: An industry research study of the mobile app sector in Saudi Arabia. *Journal of Systems and Software*, *190*, 111347.
5. Ansari, M. S. A., Abouraia, M., El Morsy, R., & Thumiki, V. R. R. (2024). Influence of transformational and transactional leadership on agile project success. *Project Leadership and Society*, *5*, 100136.
6. Ansari, M. S. A., Abouraia, M., El Morsy, R., & Thumiki, V. R. R. (2024). Influence of transformational and transactional leadership on agile project success. *Project Leadership and Society*, *5*, 100136.
7. Balada, J. (2013). Scrum Adoption for Information System Development within Complex Environments. Paper presented at the 39th International Conference on Current Trends in Theory and Practice of Computer Science, Spindleruv Mlyn.
8. Binci, D., Cerruti, C., Masili, G., & Paternoster, C. (2023). Ambidexterity and Agile project management: an empirical framework. *The TQM Journal*, *35*(5), 1275-1309.
9. Binci, D., Cerruti, C., Masili, G., & Paternoster, C. (2023). Ambidexterity and Agile project management: an empirical framework. *The TQM Journal*, *35*(5), 1275-1309.
10. Ciric, D., Lalic, B., Gracanin, D., Tasic, N., Delic, M., & Medic, N. (2019). Agile vs. Traditional approach in project management: Strategies, challenges and reasons to introduce agile. *Procedia Manufacturing*, *39*, 1407-1414.

11. Demirkesen, S., & Tezel, A. (2022). Investigating major challenges for industry 4.0 adoption among construction companies. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *29*(3), 1470-1503.
12. Demirkesen, S., & Tezel, A. (2022). Investigating major challenges for industry 4.0 adoption among construction companies. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*, *29*(3), 1470-1503.
13. Dörfler, K., Dielemans, G., Lachmayer, L., Recker, T., Raatz, A., Lowke, D., & Gerke, M. (2022). Additive Manufacturing using mobile robots: Opportunities and challenges for building construction. *Cement and concrete research*, *158*, 106772.
14. Eboh, M. F. (2024). *Effective customer engagement strategies for agile project management* (Doctoral dissertation, Vilniaus universitetas.).
15. Ginigaddara, B., Ershadi, M., Jefferies, M., & Perera, S. (2024). Conceptualising project management capabilities for offsite construction. *Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management*.
16. Hidalgo, E. S. (2019). Adapting the scrum framework for agile project management in science: case study of a distributed research initiative. *Heliyon*, *5*(3).
17. Hwang, B. G., Ngo, J., & Teo, J. Z. K. (2022). Challenges and strategies for the adoption of smart technologies in the construction industry: The case of Singapore. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, *38*(1), 05021014.
18. Ilori, O., Nwosu, N. T., & Naiho, H. N. N. (2024). Enhancing IT audit effectiveness with agile methodologies: A conceptual exploration. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, *5*(6), 1969-1994.
19. Jiang, Y., Su, S., Zhao, S., Zhong, R. Y., Qiu, W., Skibniewski, M. J., ... & Huang, G. Q. (2024). Digital twin-enabled synchronized construction management: a roadmap from construction 4.0 towards future prospect. *Developments in the Built Environment*, 100512.
20. Kankanampati, P. K., Kolli, R. K., Mokkapati, C., Goel, O., Khan, S., & Jain, A. (2023). Agile Methodologies in Procurement Solution Design Best Practices. *International Research Journal of Modernization in Engineering, Technology and Science 5 (11). doi: https://www. doi. org/10.56726/IRJMETS46859*.
21. Katare, S. (2022). Agile marketing as a key driver to increasing operational efficiencies and speed to market. *International Journal of Business Administration*, *13*(2), 92-101.
22. Lalmi, A., Fernandes, G., & Souad, S. B. (2021). A conceptual hybrid project management model for construction projects. *Procedia Computer Science*, *181*, 921-930.
23. Magistretti, S., & Trabucchi, D. (2024). Agile-as-a-tool and agile-as-a-culture: a comprehensive review of agile approaches adopting contingency and configuration theories. *Review of Managerial Science*, 1-31.
24. Mapongwana, Y. (2016). *An integration of traditional project management principles into Agile software development methodologies* (Doctoral dissertation).
25. Mazzetto, S. (2024). Interdisciplinary Perspectives on Agent-Based Modeling in the Architecture, Engineering, and Construction Industry: A Comprehensive Review. *Buildings*, *14*(11), 3480.
26. Mueller, L., Albrecht, G., Toutaoui, J., Benlian, A., & Cram, W. A. (2024). Navigating role identity tensions—IT project managers' identity work in agile information systems development. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 1-24.
27. Mulugeta, A. (2024). Role of Project Management Methodologies in Enhancing Innovation Adoption in Ethiopia. *International Journal of Project Management*, *6*(2), 1-13.
28. Ng, P. L., Maqsood, T., Khalfan, M., & Rahmani, F. (2023). AgiBuild: A Scaled Agile Framework for Building Adaptation Projects. *Buildings*, *13*(12), 3019.
29. Nguyen, T. S., Mohamed, S., & Mostafa, S. (2024). Optimising project outcomes in complex environments: empirical insights on agile practices and stakeholder dynamics. *Built Environment Project and Asset Management*.
30. Olszewski, M. (2023). Agile project management as a stage for creativity: a conceptual framework of five creativity-conducive spaces. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, *16*(3), 496-520.
31. Olszewski, M. (2023). Agile project management as a stage for creativity: a conceptual framework of five creativity-conducive spaces. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business* *16*(3), 496520. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJMPB-05-2022-0111

32. Ozorhon, B., Cardak, F., & Caglayan, S. (2022). Investigating the agile hybrid approach in construction. *Journal of Management in Engineering*, *38*(4), 04022022.
33. Raithatha, D. (2007). Making the whole product agile - A product owners perspective. Paper presented at the 8th International Conferene on Agile Processes in Software Engineering and Extreme Programming, Como.
34. Ramachandran, R., Agarwal, N., Chamarthy, S. S., Goel, O., Goel, P., & Jain, A. (2023). Best Practices for Agile Project Management in ERP Implementations. *International Journal of Current Science (IJCSPUB)*, *13*(4), 499.
35. Ramachandran, R., Agarwal, N., Chamarthy, S. S., Goel, O., Goel, P., & Jain, A. (2023). Best Practices for Agile Project Management in ERP Implementations. *International Journal of Current Science (IJCSPUB)*, *13*(4), 499.
36. Ramachandran, R., Agarwal, N., Chamarthy, S. S., Goel, O., Goel, P., & Jain, A. (2023). Best Practices for Agile Project Management in ERP Implementations. *International Journal of Current Science (IJCSPUB)*, *13*(4), 499.
37. Regona, M., Yigitcanlar, T., Xia, B., & Li, R. Y. M. (2022). Opportunities and adoption challenges of AI in the construction industry: A PRISMA review. *Journal of open innovation: technology, market, and complexity*, *8*(1), 45.
38. Saleh, H. H., Abbas, Z. A., Latif, N., & Khalil, Z. T. (2024). Agile Management in Healthcare Improving Patient Outcomes through Flexibility and Responsiveness. *Journal of Ecohumanism*, *3*(5), 633-649.
39. Santos, P. D. O., & de Carvalho, M. M. (2022). Exploring the challenges and benefits for scaling agile project management to large projects: a review. *Requirements engineering*, *27*(1), 117-134.
40. Srivastava, A., Jawaid, S., Singh, R., Gehlot, A., Akram, S. V., Priyadarshi, N., & Khan, B. (2022). Imperative role of technology intervention and implementation for automation in the construction industry. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, *2022*(1), 6716987.
41. Tetteh, S. G. (2024). Empirical Study of Agile Software Development Methodologies: A Comparative Analysis. *Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science*, *17*(5), 30-42.
42. Thamhain, H. J. (2014). Can We Manage Agile in Traditional Project Environments. Software, IEEE, 2497-2504.
43. Waardenburg, G. v., & Vliet, H. v. (2013). When agile meets the enterprise. Information and Software Technology, 55(12), 2154 - 2171.
44. Τσερώνης, Ι. (2024). *Project management in information technology: challenges and best practices* (Master's thesis, Πανεπιστήμιο Πειραιώς).