Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology
ISSN: 1001-4055
Vol. 46 No. 3 (2025)

Artificial Intelligence and Legal Risk: Assessing the Impact of AI Integration on Data Privacy and Employee Rights in Nigerian Workplaces.

Nketiah Yaw Matthew[1] & Asonye, Bright Onyedikachi[2]

[1& 2]Rome Business School.

Abstract:

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into organizational systems has transformed modern workplaces, introducing both opportunities and legal challenges. This study investigates the impact of AI adoption on data privacy and employee rights within the Nigerian employment landscape. Drawing from a quantitative survey of professionals across sectors, the research explores awareness, perceived risks, and the readiness of Nigerian workplaces to respond to the legal and ethical implications of AI. While the findings suggest a growing familiarity with AI tools among respondents, there is a notable gap in understanding the associated legal risks, especially regarding data protection, algorithmic bias, and employment rights. Despite the optimism expressed about AI's transformative role, most professionals do not perceive it as a threat to job security or workplace stability. This study highlights the urgent need for localized legal frameworks, strategic policy reforms, and targeted AI literacy initiatives to ensure responsible AI deployment in Nigeria. The research provides practical recommendations for HR professionals, policymakers, labor unions, and civil society, offering a timely contribution to the intersection of technology law, human resource management, and digital ethics in emerging economies.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Legal Risk, Data Privacy, Employee Rights, Nigerian Workplaces, AI Governance, Workplace Automation, Human Resource Management, Ethical AI, Labor Law Compliance, Organizational Ethics, Digital Transformation, Responsible Innovation

1. Background of the Study

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is deployed across multiple sectors has significantly reshaped the landscape of modern workplaces. In Nigeria, AI is increasingly being utilized in human resource functions such as recruitment automation, employee monitoring, performance evaluation, and productivity analytics. While these applications promise efficiency and competitiveness, they also raise profound legal and ethical concerns, particularly regarding data privacy, algorithmic bias, employee surveillance, and the erosion of workers' rights. The core issue is the absence of adequate legal and institutional frameworks to govern the use of AI in Nigerian workplaces, leading to potential violations of privacy rights, discrimination, and lack of accountability (Shittu et al., 2024). The proliferation of AI in the workplace on a global scale has triggered intense debates on its implications for human rights (Obaihu, 2024). The European Union, for instance, has responded by enacting the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), which emphasizes individual consent, transparency, and fairness in automated data processing.

The Rights Based Approach insists that technological deployment must respect core human rights, including privacy, freedom from surveillance, and the right to redress (Fjeld et al, 2020). Applying this framework allows for a holistic assessment of both organizational motivations and human implications of AI integration. Many Nigerian companies have adopted AI without clear protocols for data governance or ethical safeguards (Folorunso et al., 2024). Some medium and even large firms in Nigeria use AI-based tools in talent acquisition and

productivity monitoring, yet there is a lack of internal data protection policies that meet international standards (Nwanya et al., 2023). This trend reflects a broader global concern since the increasing reliance on opaque algorithmic systems in employment decisions often leads to non-transparent outcomes that challenge traditional legal doctrines such as due process, informed consent, and the right to non-discrimination (Okolie et al., 2023). Moreover, the Nigerian Labour Act (2004) is outdated in its current form and does not explicitly address the nuances of AI in the workplace. For instance, automated decision making systems in hiring or firing raise concerns over fairness and accountability which the existing legal infrastructure is ill-equipped to handle. Ajayi and Ekundayo (2022) argue that the current labor protections are analog era constructs that cannot adequately respond to the challenges of AI-driven human resource practices (Kanu et al., 2024).

Furthermore, the use of AI-powered surveillance technologies for monitoring employees' emails, physical movements, and productivity levels is increasingly common. These tools often operate without the informed consent of employees and blur the boundaries between work and private life. Hoxhaj et al. (2023), caution that such practices when unregulated can foster a culture of distrust, psychological stress, and eventually legal liabilities for employers. The workplace is also a site of algorithmic discrimination, where historical biases embedded in data can lead to unjust hiring outcomes or skewed performance reviews. For example, algorithms trained on past recruitment data may inadvertently reproduce gender or ethnic bias, thus violating the principles of fairness and equal opportunity (Thinyane et al., 2020). In the absence of algorithmic transparency and independent audits, employees in Nigeria often have limited means of challenging these decisions, effectively eroding labor protections.

The incorporation of Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies into workplace operations is no longer a futuristic ambition but a present day reality across many Nigerian organizations. From automated recruitment systems to productivity tracking tools and AI-driven performance evaluations, the deployment of such technologies is accelerating as firms seek operational efficiency, cost reduction, and competitive advantage in a globalized economy (Nwanya et al., 2023). However, this digital transformation has introduced a new frontier of legal and ethical risks, especially in the areas of data privacy, employee rights, transparency and workplace fairness. This integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in Nigerian workplaces presents both a transformative opportunity and a complex legal dilemma (Adeyefa et al., 2024). Organizations across sectors especially in finance, telecommunications, and tech are increasingly adopting AI tools for recruitment, performance monitoring, employee evaluation, and task automation. While these technologies promise enhanced operational efficiency and cost effectiveness, they simultaneously pose significant risks to employee rights, particularly regarding data privacy, workplace surveillance, and algorithmic decision making (Harper et al., 2023). In a developing economy like Nigeria where legal frameworks are still evolving these risks become even more pronounced.

This study is motivated by the growing tension between technological innovation and the protection of fundamental rights in the Nigerian employment context. While organizations are quick to adopt AI to streamline HR processes, there has been limited scrutiny on how these technologies affect employees' personal data, autonomy, and dignity. As of 2023, Nigeria's legislative landscape although improving through instruments like the Nigeria Data Protection Act (NDPA) remains insufficient in addressing the unique legal risks posed by AI, particularly in relation to automated decision making, algorithmic bias, and workplace surveillance (Effoduh, 2021). The gap in enforceable standards places both employers and employees at a legal and ethical crossroads. Nigerian labor laws and data protection regulations do not currently provide clear guidance on how AI systems should be used in the workplace. There is a need to critically assess the compatibility of existing laws with emerging AI applications and to explore how legal doctrines such as due process, consent, and non-discrimination can be preserved in the age of automation (Obiahu, 2024). AI has the potential to violate employee rights in subtle yet impactful ways. For instance, algorithmic tools may produce discriminatory outcomes in hiring or promotion due to biased training data (Anagbogu, 2024). Moreover, continuous employee monitoring through AI-driven surveillance tools can erode trust and create a toxic work environment, leading to psychological stress and reduced productivity (Adekoya et al., 2024).

Nigerian organizations are embracing AI without robust internal frameworks for ethical deployment (Ajiga et al., 2024). This study will provide evidence based insights to guide corporate executives, HR leaders, and

policymakers in adopting AI in ways that respect both business efficiency and legal compliance. Despite the proliferation of AI-related studies globally there is a lack of focused empirical research examining how AI affects workplace legal dynamics in Nigeria. This study will contribute to filling that gap, offering novel insights that combine legal analysis with real world organizational data from a developing economy perspective. Furthermore, the study aligns with global calls for "responsible AI" a framework that prioritizes transparency, fairness, accountability, and human oversight in AI systems (Eke et al., 2023).

In essence, this study does not merely investigate the risks of AI adoption in Nigerian workplaces but it also contributes to shaping a strategic pathway for responsible innovation that safeguards employee rights and ensures compliance with both local and international standards. The significance of this research is therefore both practical and theoretical enriching the discourse on how emerging technologies intersect with labor law, ethics, and organizational strategy. What makes this challenge particularly relevant is its intersection with fundamental rights. Ahmad et al (2025), opines that AI systems when poorly designed or inadequately regulated can infringe human rights such as reinforce existing biases in recruitment, promotions and disciplinary actions often in ways that are opaque and unchallengeable by the affected individuals. Employees may not understand how decisions about them are made or whether they have been unfairly targeted due to flaws in algorithmic logic or skewed training data (Novikov, 2024). This lack of transparency not only violates privacy but undermines trust in organizational processes and can expose companies to reputational damage and legal liabilities (Diamantis, 2022).

This research is further justified by the absence of local empirical studies that assess AI's implications for workplace rights in Nigeria. While global literature has explored AI and legal risk extensively, much of it is rooted in Western contexts with more robust legal infrastructures. There is a need for a context specific examination that reflects the unique socio-legal realities of the Nigerian workplace, including informal employment structures, limited legal literacy among workers (Onyejegbu, 2023). This study will fill that gap by offering grounded insights that are locally relevant while also aligning with international best practices on responsible AI.

Additionally, this project aims to contribute strategically to policy development and organizational leadership as policymakers will benefit from evidence based recommendations on how to update Nigeria's labor laws and data protection standards in light of AI's growing influence. Employers and HR professionals will gain practical guidance on deploying AI responsibly thereby ensuring that innovation does not come at the expense of ethical standards or employee wellbeing. Labor unions and civil society groups will also be equipped with analytical tools to advocate more effectively for employee rights in digital workspaces.

This study align with the global shift toward ethical implication of AI as it reinforces the principle that innovation must be coupled with accountability and that employee dignity, transparency, and justice must remain at the center of technological advancement. By assessing the legal risks associated with AI integration in Nigerian workplaces it help to offer a timely and necessary contribution to the fields of technology law, human resource management and organizational ethics (Bjola, 2022). Given these realities, this study seeks to critically assess the extent to which current practices comply with standards for data protection and human rights and to propose a roadmap for balancing innovation with legal safeguards therefore, the findings will inform policy makers, corporate leaders and labor unions about the necessary reforms to ensure AI adoption does not come at the expense of fundamental employee rights thereby making this research to be timely and significant as it offers a multidisciplinary perspective on the convergence of technology, law and human resource management. Also, it aims to fill the gap in academic and policy oriented literature regarding AI's legal implications in Nigeria as it evaluate both the risks and potential of AI integration which will contribute to the broader discourse on digital justice and responsible innovation.

2. Literature Review

Idoko et al (2024), opines that the integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Big Data is ushering in profound transformations across various industries, with biometric data usage standing out due to its deep implications for workforce dynamics and customer privacy. This review article critically examines the dual challenges presented by AI-driven automation and the extensive use of biometric data analytics, focusing on the resultant job displacement and escalating privacy concerns. Biometric technologies such as facial recognition, fingerprint

identification, and voice analysis are increasingly deployed across sectors including finance, healthcare, and retail. These technologies aim to enhance security measures, improve user experience, and optimize operational efficiencies. However, they also bring to light substantial ethical dilemmas, particularly concerning the privacy of individuals and the security of the data being collected. The pervasive collection and analysis of biometric data can lead to invasive surveillance and profiling, exacerbating risks to personal privacy. Moreover, the use of AI in automating tasks that were traditionally performed by human workers is leading to significant shifts in employment structures. While AI can increase efficiency and reduce costs, it also raises the specter of widespread job displacement. This potential for automation driven unemployment is especially pronounced in sectors that heavily utilize routine, repetitive tasks, posing critical socio-economic challenges. This article also explores the regulatory and technological frameworks currently in place, and those that are needed to address these challenges. The effectiveness of existing data protection laws, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union, and the California Consumer Privacy Act (CCPA) in the United States, is assessed in the context of AI and biometric data. We discuss the role of policy in shaping the ethical use of AI and protecting workers, along with the technological safeguards that could be implemented to secure biometric data and ensure privacy. By synthesizing insights from recent research, case studies, and expert analyses, this article provides a comprehensive overview of how AI and Big Data are reshaping the landscape of work and privacy. It critically discusses the need for a balanced approach that harnesses the benefits of technological advancements while safeguarding individual rights and employment security.

Rayhan and Rayhan (2023), stated that in the digital age, artificial intelligence (AI) has emerged as a transformative force, reshaping numerous aspects of human life. As its influence grows, concerns regarding its impact on human rights and privacy have escalated. This thesis paper explores the complex relationship between AI and human rights, seeking to strike a delicate balance between technological innovations and safeguarding individual liberties. The study conducts an in-depth literature review to understand the historical development of AI, its ethical implications, and the legal frameworks guiding its deployment. Using real-world case studies, it analyzes instances where AI has both advanced and threatened human rights. The paper delves into the critical need for explainable and transparent AI, stressing the importance of ethically driven development and responsible deployment. Through a comprehensive examination of international and national regulatory efforts, it examines the legal landscape concerning AI and privacy rights. The narrative presents compelling recommendations on how stakeholders can collaboratively foster a human-centric AI future, encompassing both innovation and privacy protection.

Kaur et al (2024), stated the rapid integration of Artificial Intelligence (AI) across diverse sectors, particularly in the workplace, has yielded efficiency gains and enhanced decision-making capabilities. However, the pervasive adoption of AI has raised significant concerns regarding the privacy of employees. This systematic literature review seeks to comprehensively explore the implications of AI on employee privacy. The study addresses three key dimensions: (1) evaluating the extent to which AI technologies compromise or safeguard employee privacy; (2) elucidating the costs and benefits of AI adoption in organizations to strike a balance with employee privacy considerations; and (3) discussing the varying impact of advancing AI algorithms in the workplace on employee privacy. Drawing upon the privacy calculus framework, the paper underscores the trade-offs organizations make in managing employees' privacy in the context of AI integration. The discussion is grounded in an analysis of advancing AI algorithms and their dynamic influence on the delicate balance between organizational efficiency and the protection of employee privacy. By addressing the complexities inherent in this intersection, the research serves as a valuable resource for guiding further inquiry into the evolving relationship between advancing AI technologies and preserving employee privacy.

Yanamala and Suryadevara (2024), Artificial Intelligence (AI) technologies are transforming industries worldwide, yet their integration raises significant challenges related to data protection. This comprehensive review explores the intersection of AI and data protection, focusing on regulatory frameworks, ethical considerations, and technological innovations. Key topics include the impact of regulations like the GDPR and CCPA, ethical implications of AI-driven decision-making, and emerging technologies such as federated learning and differential

privacy. By synthesizing current literature and empirical findings, this review aims to provide insights for policymakers, practitioners, and researchers navigating the complexities of AI-driven data governance.

Williamson and Prybutok (2024), analyze the balance between privacy preservation and the practical utility of healthcare data, emphasizing the effectiveness of encryption, Differential Privacy, and mixed-model approaches. The paper navigates the complex ethical and legal frameworks essential for AI integration in healthcare. We comprehensively examine patient rights and the complexities of informed consent, along with the challenges of harmonizing advanced technologies like blockchain with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). The issue of algorithmic bias in healthcare is also explored, underscoring the urgent need for effective bias detection and mitigation strategies to build patient trust. The evolving roles of decentralized data sharing, regulatory frameworks, and patient agency are discussed in depth. Advocating for an interdisciplinary, multi-stakeholder approach and responsive governance, the paper aims to align healthcare AI with ethical principles, prioritize patient-centered outcomes, and steer AI towards responsible and equitable enhancements in patient care.

Bashayreh et al (2021), examines the allocation of liability when autonomous artificial intelligence (AI) systems cause accidents. Problems of applying existing principles of legal liability in AI environment are addressed. Their article argues that the sharing of risk as a basis for proportionate liability should be a basis for a new liability regime to govern future autonomous machines. It is argued that this approach favors the reality of parties' consent to taking the risk of unpredictable AI behavior over the technicality of existing principles of legal liability. The suggested approach also encourages transparency and responsible decisions of developers and owners of AI systems. A flowchart to clarify possible outcomes of applying the suggested approach is provided. The study also discusses the need for harmonization of national laws and international cooperation regarding AI incidents crossing national borders to ensure predictability of legal rules governing the liability ensuing from AI applications.

Pashentsev and Babaeva (2024), examines the use of artificial intelligence (AI) in law-making and law enforcement, analyzing it through the lens of the technological paradigm theory and utilizing a new methodology rooted in post-classical scientific rationality. The examination of the AI's legal personality takes into account the modern anthropocentrism standpoint, where humans are perceived as the central figure in the legal system. A human, as a subject of law, plays a crucial role in constructing legal reality. Through exercising his powers, a human transforms abstract norms into existing law. The proposal to delegate this anthropological function to AI carries significant risks that may have detrimental effects on the established system of legal regulation for public relations. The correlation between law-making and law enforcement, as integral components in establishing legal reality, pertains to the legal consciousness of individuals as subjects of law. This correlation does not necessitate the substitution of human decision-making with AI. Simultaneously, AI is capable of effectively handling auxiliary legal tasks. It possesses the necessary skills to draft regulatory acts, court decisions, and consolidate proposals obtained through law-making crowdsourcing. Additionally, it is proficient in executing automated actions, such as issuing writs of execution. The utilization of AI to implement the concept of machine-readable law and algorithmize the application of legal norms appears to hold promise. The incorporation of a digital state notion, which pertains to the transition of public service provision into digital format, is also unattainable without AI, which greatly enhances the public administration efficiency. In this case, the focal point will revolve around the matter of attaining effective control over decisions carried out by AI, as well as the prescribed boundaries of its capabilities within the legal domain, as established by legislative measures.

Ali et al (2022), opinionated that artificial intelligence creates challenges and complications for human rights. The basic assumptions are maturity of the information age has resulted in the need to adapt our laws and regulations and our policies and develop them somewhat. But the pattern of technological changes. It is often difficult for policies, regulations, and laws to keep pace. And as was the case in other periods that witnessed massive changes, it can be delayed laws and regulations in progress can lead to critical policy gaps. The authors aims to discuss manifestations of artificial intelligence's threat to human rights. The second requirement is the legal confrontation of the threat of artificial intelligence to human rights and how AI might be applied in human rights-related areas. The methodology of this study presents potential challenges ahead in the field of policy while occupying intelligence in a more centralized position, whether in the private or artificial spheres, commercial or public. We

cautiously approach this topic using previous studies that are currently available to support our discussion. The findings of this study show a great need to address problems associated with AI and social phenomena. Dedicated research in this field can provide help for the issues related encountered in AI practice.

Lockey et al (2021), opines that societal adoption of AI is recognized to depend on stakeholder trust in AI, yet the literature on trust in AI is fragmented, and little is known about the vulnerabilities faced by different stakeholders, making it is difficult to draw on this evidence-base to inform practice and policy. We undertake a literature review to take stock of what is known about the antecedents of trust in AI, and organize our findings around five trust challenges unique to or exacerbated by AI. Further, we develop a concept matrix identifying the key vulnerabilities to stakeholders raised by each of the challenges, and propose a multi-stakeholder approach to future research.

Lucchi (2024), asserts that copyright issues pertaining to generative artificial intelligence (AI) systems, with particular emphasis on the ChatGPT case study as a primary exemplar. In order to generate high-quality outcomes, generative AI systems require substantial quantities of training data, which may frequently comprise copyright-protected information. This prompts inquiries into the legal principles of fair use, the creation of derivative works and the lawfulness of data gathering and utilisation. The utilisation of input data for the purpose of training and enhancing AI models presents significant concerns regarding potential violations of copyright. The study offers suggestions for safeguarding the interests of copyright holders and competitors, while simultaneously addressing legal challenges and expediting the advancement of AI technologies. This study analyses the ChatGPT platform as a case example to explore the necessary modifications that copyright regulations must undergo to adequately tackle the intricacies of authorship and ownership in the realm of AI-generated creative content.

Rodrigues (2020), focusses on legal and human rights issues of artificial intelligence (AI) being discussed and debated, how they are being addressed, gaps and challenges, and affected human rights principles. Such issues include algorithmic transparency, cybersecurity vulnerabilities, unfairness, bias and discrimination, lack of contestability, legal personhood issues, intellectual property issues, adverse effects on workers, privacy and data protection issues, liability for damage and lack of accountability. The study uses the frame of 'vulnerability' to consolidate the understanding of critical areas of concern and guide risk and impact mitigation efforts to protect human well-being. While recognising the good work carried out in the AI law space, and acknowledging this area needs constant evaluation and agility in approach, this article advances the discussion, which is important given the gravity of the impacts of AI technologies, particularly on vulnerable individuals and groups, and their human rights.

Aizenberg and Van Den Hoven (2020), opines that in the age of Big Data, companies and governments are increasingly using algorithms to inform hiring decisions, employee management, policing, credit scoring, insurance pricing, and many more aspects of our lives. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems can help us make evidence driven, efficient decisions, but can also confront us with unjustified, discriminatory decisions wrongly assumed to be accurate because they are made automatically and quantitatively. It is becoming evident that these technological developments are consequential to people's fundamental human rights. Despite increasing attention to these urgent challenges in recent years, technical solutions to these complex socio-ethical problems are often developed without empirical study of societal context and the critical input of societal stakeholders who are impacted by the technology. On the other hand, calls for more ethically and socially aware AI often fail to provide answers for how to proceed beyond stressing the importance of transparency and fairness. Bridging these socio-technical gaps and the deep divide between abstract value language and design requirements is essential to facilitate complicated, context-dependent design choices that will support moral and social values. In this paper, we bridge this divide through the framework of Design for Values, drawing on methodologies of Value Sensitive Design and Participatory Design to present a roadmap for proactively engaging societal stakeholders to translate fundamental human rights into context-dependent design requirements through a structured, inclusive, and transparent process.

3. Methodology

To examine the impact of artificial intelligence (AI) integration on data privacy and employee rights in Nigerian workplaces this study adopted a quantitative approach this approach was chosen to allow for the systematic

collection of empirical data from a diverse group of HR professionals, legal experts, policymakers and employees who are either using or exposed to AI systems in their organizations. It also allows to target respondents from industries undergoing digital transformation where AI tools like predictive analytics, employee monitoring software, and algorithmic decision systems are increasingly deployed. To broaden the participant pool and capture context specific insights the snowball sampling was used to allow for initial respondents to refer colleagues and contacts who met the inclusion criteria as this ensured a wide range of perspectives across various sectors in Nigeria. The research instrument was a structured questionnaire adapted from prior validated studies but tailored to the Nigerian legal context. The questionnaire included items designed to measure respondents' perceptions of AI's influence on data privacy, surveillance, automated decision making, employee consent and workplace fairness. Additionally questions were developed to evaluate the perceived preparedness of organizational policies in addressing these emerging legal risks. The survey featured three key sections: demographic data, experience with AI technologies in the workplace, and a series of Likert-scale questions (1 = strongly disagree to 5 = strongly agree) assessing perceived legal and ethical risks related to AI usage. Specific constructs measured include awareness of legal frameworks governing AI and data protection, perceived threats to employee rights (e.g., job security, privacy, due process) and organizational safeguards (e.g., consent policies, algorithmic transparency, human oversight) A total of 100 respondents participated in the study. The gender distribution was 54% male (N = 54) and 46% female (N = 46). Participants represented a range of professions comprising of 20% from private sector organizations, 20% from public institutions, 10% from civil society/NGOs, and 50% from academia or legal consultancy. Respondents were also asked to indicate their main job function including HR management (N = 55), legal compliance (N = 12), data governance/IT (N = 23), and organizational policy (N = 10). Data were analyzed using Statistical Package for the Social Sciences (SPSS)**.** Inferential analysis was used to examine the relationships between AI adoption and key outcome variables such as awareness of employee rights, perception of data privacy risks, and organizational legal readiness. The results were interpreted within the context of Nigeria's Data Protection Act (NDPA), the Labour Act and global standards like the GDPR. This methodology ensures the collection of valid and actionable data that reflect the current realities of AI deployment in Nigerian workplaces. It also provides a platform for comparative analysis with global practices by this means enabling the study to contribute meaningfully to both local policymaking and international discourse on responsible AI and labor rights.

4. Results and Analysis

This section presents the findings of the study structured in alignment with the research questions. The focus is on how professionals in Nigerian workplaces perceive and experience AI integration in relation to data privacy, employee rights and legal implications.

Research Question 1: What is the level of awareness and extent of use of AI technologies in Nigerian workplaces?

The findings revealed that a significant majority of respondents are already utilizing AI technologies either directly or indirectly in their work environments. Specifically, 87% of respondents indicated that they use AI-powered applications on their smartphones, while 58% reported engagement with AI-enabled tools or devices within their offices. These include facial recognition systems, chatbots, automated time tracking and performance analytics platforms.

A chi-square test was conducted to examine whether AI usage patterns varied significantly by gender. The results indicated no statistically significant difference between male and female respondents in their adoption of AI technologies. This suggests that AI exposure in the workplace transcends gender lines and access to these tools is relatively uniform across professional settings without legal restrictions.

Table 1: AI Technology Usage

Gender	Use AI on Smartphone			Use AI Devices at Work/Home		
	Yes	No	Don't know	Yes	No	Don't know
Male	42 (84%)	6 (12%)	2 (4%)	30 (60%)	18 (36%)	2 (4%)
Female	45 (90%)	3 (6%)	2 (4%)	28 (56%)	19 (38%)	3 (6%)
Total	**87 (87%)**	**9 (9%)**	**4 (4%)**	**58 (58%)**	**37 (37%)**	**5 (5%)**

$\chi^2(N = 100) = 1.213$, $p = 0.546$
(smartphone AI)

$\chi^2(N = 100) = 0.714$, $p = 0.700$
(smart devices)

These results indicate widespread AI adoption in everyday work related tools but also highlight a lack of gender disparity in access or usage.

Research Question 2: Perceived Influence of AI on Workplace Governance and Employee Rights

The findings suggest that professionals in Nigerian workplaces acknowledge the growing influence of artificial intelligence on both organizational governance and employee related processes. Participants reported that AI is likely to reshape workplace compliance and employment rights enforcement mechanisms (Mean = 3.67, SD = 1.09), also to transform internal HR and data management practices (Mean = 3.54, SD = 1.12), and alter individual roles particularly in areas of performance monitoring and employee evaluation (Mean = 3.59, SD = 1.20). These results indicate that despite mixed levels of technical understanding of AI, respondents are aware of its expanding presence and the substantial effect it may have on institutional processes and worker protections.

Table 2: Perceived Impact of AI on Workplace Operations and Employee Rights

AI Influence Area	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Organizational legal and regulatory processes	3.67	1.09
Internal HR and operational systems	3.54	1.12
Day-to-day job functions and employee surveillance	3.59	1.20

Note: Respondents were asked to rate the perceived influence of AI across core employment and organizational domains on a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Very Low Impact, 5 = Very High Impact). The results reflect a moderate to high perceived impact, particularly in functions tied to workplace data usage and employee autonomy.

Research Question 3: Challenges in Aligning AI with Labor Protections and Institutional Readiness

The perceived obstacles to the responsible integration of AI in Nigerian workplaces particularly regarding employee rights and legal safeguards was also examined. Contrary to global fears, professionals in this study generally expressed confidence in their institutions' capacity to adopt AI responsibly. Specifically, challenges such as digital competence among employees, motivation to engage with AI systems, internal infrastructure, leadership endorsement and external support such as national infrastructure and regulations were not perceived as insurmountable. These factors were largely considered achievable or improvable. This optimism implies a

recognition that AI is not merely a futuristic concern but a contemporary force shaping organizational norms thereby warranting regulatory and ethical attention.

Table 3: Perceived Institutional Barriers to Responsible AI Adoption

Barrier	t-value	p-value
Employee skills in AI usage	-18.413	0.000
Staff willingness to engage AI	-19.275	0.000
Technical infrastructure/resources	-14.601	0.000
Managerial/legal support	-15.728	0.000
National-level readiness (laws/internet)	-11.482	0.000

Note: Ratings were based on the difficulty of fulfilling various prerequisites for AI integration, using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Not Difficult, 5 = Very Difficult). A test value of 4 indicates a high perceived difficulty.

Research Question 4: Perceived Risks to Data Privacy

This portion evaluates the extent to which AI is viewed as a threat to core employee rights such as data privacy, transparency, data protection, confidentiality, Inability to opt-out of biometric or algorithmic profiling processes and Use of employee data for unintended or unethical purposes. The findings of a one-sample t-test show that the majority of respondents do not perceive AI as a major risk.

Table 4: Perceived Legal and Ethical Risks of AI in Workplaces

Risk Area	t-value	p-value
Unauthorized access to employee personal data by AI systems.	-19.210	0.000
Lack of transparency on how employee data is collected, stored, and used.	-18.342	0.000
Inadequate data protection measures during AI-driven analytics	-15.108	0.000
Breach of confidentiality through data-sharing with third-party AI vendors.	-16.489	0.000
Inability to opt-out of biometric or algorithmic profiling processes	-21.804	0.000
Use of employee data for unintended or unethical purposes (e.g., automated termination decisions).	-22.716	0.000

Note: Participants assessed the likelihood of various risks posed by AI using a 5-point Likert scale (1 = Not Likely, 5 = Very Likely). The test value of 4 signifies a high level of perceived risk. Mean scores below this threshold indicate a low to moderate level of concern.

Across all research questions, findings suggest a largely optimistic outlook on AI integration in Nigerian workplaces. Respondents demonstrated awareness of AI's impact. However, they also expressed a general belief that with appropriate regulation, education, and institutional commitment, AI can be adopted without undermining

employee rights or workplace fairness. This data driven insight calls for policy innovation**,** capacity building, and HR-legal collaborations to preemptively address the evolving landscape of algorithmic employment**.**

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) into organizational operations has sparked a global conversation on its implications for data privacy and legal responsibility in the workplace. While extensive scholarly and policy discourse exists particularly in Western jurisdictions there remains a dearth of empirical studies addressing AI's implications for workplace rights within the Nigerian context. This study contributes to bridging that gap by providing local insights into perceptions, awareness, challenges and risks associated with AI integration specifically through the lens of employee rights and legal safeguards. Findings from the study revealed that most Nigerian professionals exhibit moderate to high awareness of AI technologies especially in relation to automated systems and workplace digitalization. However despite this awareness there is low perceived legal and ethical risks of AI. This suggests a limited grasp of the long term implications of AI for employee privacy and rights. These outcomes stand in contrast to earlier studies conducted in more developed settings where concerns about legal risk were more pronounced (Wright 2020, Pasham 2022, Kaminski 2023, among others). This divergence may reflect either a lack of exposure to the deeper complexities of AI systems or a general optimism rooted in current limited usage within Nigerian workplaces. Additionally, most respondents did not see AI as an imminent threat to professional identity this further emphasizes the need for a more robust understanding of AI's legal and human resource implications.

Another key finding is the mismatch between AI adoption in daily life and its strategic deployment in the workplace. While many professionals use AI-powered devices personally but such usage does not translate into strategic understanding. As De Stefano (2020), caution that using AI tools casually differs significantly from developing the expertise required to manage the associated risks particularly around data protection and labor rights. Given Nigeria's evolving legal frameworks and relatively promising data protection structures the findings underscore a critical need for increased AI literacy among both professionals and policymakers. Efforts must be made to align Nigeria's labor laws and regulatory systems with the accelerating pace of AI deployment in business operations. This involves not only updating legal frameworks but also ensuring that organizations are equipped to manage algorithmic decision making in ways that uphold employee rights, transparency and fairness.

It is also imperative to engage stakeholders such as labor unions, civil society organizations and corporate leaders in sustained dialogue about responsible AI use. Institutions like the Nigeria Labour Congress and National Information Technology Development Agency (NITDA) must play more proactive roles in monitoring the ethical dimensions of AI in workplaces. Likewise, academic institutions have a responsibility to integrate AI ethics, workplace automation and digital rights into their curricula thereby preparing future professionals to navigate the complexities of the AI-driven work environment.

In conclusion, this study reaffirms the importance of examining AI integration not just from a technological or productivity standpoint but through a multidimensional lens that includes legal, ethical and human resource perspectives. It calls for deliberate, locally informed strategies to balance innovation with accountability. As AI continues to permeate Nigerian workplaces it is essential to ensure that such advancements do not compromise employee rights but instead align with the broader principles of digital justice, human dignity and lawful governance.

References

1. Adekoya, O. D., Mordi, C., & Ajonbadi, H. A. (2024). A Dystopian or Utopian Tale? The Challenges and Opportunities of AI-Powered Workplace in the Nigerian Gig Economy. *HRM, Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Work: Insights from the Global South*, 305-328.
2. Adeyefa, A. K., Oyeyemi, K., Akindoju, O. G., & Adekunle, O. A. A. (2024). Paper 11-Ethical Implications of Artificial Intelligence Deployment In Nigeria: Navigating Challenges And Ensuring Equitable Access. *Book of Proceeding*, 112.

3. Ahmad, N., Ali, A. W., & bin Yussof, M. H. B. (2025). The Challenges of Human Rights in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. *UUM Journal of Legal Studies*, *16*(1), 150-169.
4. Aizenberg, E., & Van Den Hoven, J. (2020). Designing for human rights in AI. *Big Data & Society*, *7*(2), 2053951720949566.
5. Ajiga, D., Okeleke, P. A., Folorunsho, S. O., & Ezeigweneme, C. (2024). Navigating ethical considerations in software development and deployment in technological giants. *International Journal of Ethics and Research Utilization*, *7*(1).
6. Ali, A. H., Abdullah, I. D., Aswad, A. R., Abdeldayem, M. M., & Aldulaimi, S. H. (2022, June). Human rights and artificial intelligence: Evaluation of legal challenges and potential risk. In *2022 ASU International Conference in Emerging Technologies for Sustainability and Intelligent Systems (ICETSIS)* (pp. 361-367). IEEE.
7. Anagbogu, P. (2024). Artificial Intelligence Generated Contents and Data Protection in Nigeria. *Available at SSRN 5050781*.
8. Bashayreh, M., Sibai, F. N., & Tabbara, A. (2021). Artificial intelligence and legal liability: towards an international approach of proportional liability based on risk sharing. *Information & Communications Technology Law*, *30*(2), 169-192.
9. Bjola, C. (2022). AI for development: Implications for theory and practice. *Oxford Development Studies*, *50*(1), 78-90.
10. De Stefano, V. M. (2020). 'Negotiating the algorithm': Automation, artificial intelligence and labour protection. *Comparative Labor Law and Policy Journal*, *41*(1), 1-32.
11. Diamantis, M. E. (2022). Employed algorithms: a labor model of corporate liability for AI. *Duke lJ*, *72*, 797.
12. Effoduh, J. O. (2021). Towards A rights-respecting artificial intelligence policy for Nigeria. *Policy Brief (Paradigm Initiative and Global Partners Digital). Available at https://paradigmhq. org/report/towards-a-rights-respecting-artificial-intelligence-policyfor-nigeria/(accessed 10 February 2024)*.
13. Eke, D. O., Wakunuma, K., & Akintoye, S. (2023). Responsible AI in Africa: Challenges and opportunities.
14. Fjeld, J., Achten, N., Hilligoss, H., Nagy, A., & Srikumar, M. (2020). Principled artificial intelligence: Mapping consensus in ethical and rights-based approaches to principles for AI. *Berkman Klein Center Research Publication*, (2020-1).
15. Folorunso, A., Olanipekun, K., Adewumi, T., & Samuel, B. (2024). A policy framework on AI usage in developing countries and its impact. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, *21*(01), 154-166.
16. Harper, E., & Millard, J. (2023). Artificial Intelligence in Employment Law: Legal Issues in AI-Driven Hiring and Employment Practices. *Legal Studies in Digital Age*, *2*(4), 48-60.
17. Hoxhaj, O., Halilaj, B., & Harizi, A. (2023). Ethical Implications and Human Rights Violations in The Age of Artificial Intelligence. *Balkan Social Science Review*, *22*(22), 153-171.
18. Idoko, I. P., Igbede, M. A., Manuel, H. N. N., Adeoye, T. O., Akpa, F. A., & Ukaegbu, C. (2024). Big data and AI in employment: The dual challenge of workforce replacement and protecting customer privacy in biometric data usage. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, *19*(02), 089-106.
19. Kaminski, M. E. (2023). Regulating the Risks of AI. *BUL Rev.*, *103*, 1347.
20. Kanu, I. A., Adidi, D. T., & Kanu, C. C. (2024). Artificial intelligence and cybercrime in Nigeria: Towards an Ethical framework. *Dialogue and Universalism*, *34*(1), 207-221.
21. Kaur, A., Maheshwari, S., Bose, I., & Singh, S. (2024). Watch Out, You are Live! Toward Understanding the Impact of AI on Privacy of Employees. Communications of the Association for Information Systems, 55, 603-626.
22. Lockey, S., Gillespie, N., Holm, D., & Someh, I. A. (2021). A review of trust in artificial intelligence: Challenges, vulnerabilities and future directions.

23. Lucchi, N. (2024). ChatGPT: a case study on copyright challenges for generative artificial intelligence systems. *European Journal of Risk Regulation*, *15*(3), 602-624.
24. Novikov, D. A. (2024). Using Artificial Intelligence in Employment: Problems and Prospects of Legal Regulation. *Journal of Digital Technologies and Law*, *2*(3), 611-635.
25. Nwanya, S., & Achebe, C. (2023). Human factors issues at selected workplaces in Nigeria: practice, status and future research needs. *International Journal of Occupational and Environmental Safety*, *7*(1), 33-54.
26. Obiahu, B.C. (2024). Regulating Artificial Intelligence In Nigeria: Balancing Innovation With Ethical and Legal Considerations. *Alex-Ekwueme Federal University Faculty of Law Ll. B Projects*.
27. Okolie, U. C., & Egbon, T. N. (2023). Effectiveness and Difficulties of Artificial Intelligence Application in Human Resource Management. *Jurnal Transparansi Publik (JTP)*, *3*(2), 53-59.
28. Onyejegbu, L. N. (2023). Challenges of integrating AI ethics into higher education curricula in West Africa: Nigerian universities narrative. In *AI Ethics in Higher Education: Insights from Africa and Beyond* (pp. 57-66). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
29. Pasham, S. D. (2022). A Review of the Literature on the Subject of Ethical and Risk Considerations in the Context of Fast AI Development. *International Journal of Modern Computing*, *5*(1), 24-43.
30. Pashentsev, D. A., & Babaeva, Y. G. (2024). Artificial intelligence in law-making and law enforcement: Risks and new opportunities. *Вестник Санкт-Петербургского университета. Право*, *15*(2), 516-526.
31. Rayhan, R., & Rayhan, S. (2023). AI and human rights: Balancing innovation and privacy in the digital age. *Comput. Sci. Eng*, *2*(353964), 10-13140.
32. Rodrigues, R. (2020). Legal and human rights issues of AI: Gaps, challenges and vulnerabilities. *Journal of Responsible Technology*, *4*, 100005.
33. Shittu, R. A., Ahmadu, J., Famoti, O., Nzeako, G., Ezechi, O. N., Igwe, A. N., ... & Akokodaripon, D. (2024). Ethics in technology: Developing ethical guidelines for AI and digital transformation in Nigeria. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Growth Evaluation*, *6*(1), 1260-71.
34. Thinyane, H., & Sassetti, F. (2020, March). Towards a human rights-based approach to AI: Case study of apprise. In *International Development Informatics Association Conference* (pp. 33-47). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
35. Williamson, S. M., & Prybutok, V. (2024). Balancing privacy and progress: a review of privacy challenges, systemic oversight, and patient perceptions in AI-driven healthcare. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(2), 675.
36. Wright, S. A. (2020, December). Ai in the law: Towards assessing ethical risks. In *2020 IEEE International Conference on Big Data (Big Data)* (pp. 2160-2169). IEEE.
37. Yanamala, A. K. Y., & Suryadevara, S. (2024). Navigating data protection challenges in the era of artificial intelligence: A comprehensive review. *Revista de Inteligencia Artificial en Medicina*, *15*(1), 113-146.