

Hydrogel Materials in 3D Printing: A Comprehensive Review

P Sudheer Rao¹, Dr. R. Sudarshan², PVR Girish Kumar³

^{1,2} Associate Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, T.S, India.

³ Senior Assistant Professor, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Geethanjali College of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, T.S, India.

Abstract

Hydrogels have emerged as key materials in the field of 3D printing, particularly in biomedical engineering, owing to their high-water content, biocompatibility, and tunable mechanical properties. This review explores the classifications, properties, fabrication techniques, and current applications of hydrogel-based materials in additive manufacturing. Furthermore, challenges and future perspectives are discussed to provide a roadmap for continued development and clinical translation.

1. Introduction

The advent of 3D printing in the last two decades has revolutionized the manufacturing landscape, enabling precise, layer-by-layer fabrication of complex structures. Among various printable materials, hydrogels stand out for biomedical and tissue engineering applications due to their ability to mimic the extracellular matrix (ECM) of biological tissues [1]. Hydrogels are hydrophilic polymeric networks capable of retaining large amounts of water while maintaining structural integrity [2].

2. Classification of Hydrogels

Hydrogels can be classified based on their origin, crosslinking method, and responsiveness:

2.1 Natural vs. Synthetic Hydrogels

Natural hydrogels: Gelatin, alginate, chitosan, collagen, hyaluronic acid [3].

Synthetic hydrogels: Polyethylene glycol (PEG), polyvinyl alcohol (PVA), Pluronic F127 [4].

2.2 Physically vs. Chemically Crosslinked

Physical: Ionic or hydrogen bonding (e.g., alginate-Ca²⁺ gels).

Chemical: Covalent networks formed via photo-crosslinking or click chemistry [5] as shown in Table 1.

3. 3D Printing Techniques for Hydrogels

3.1 Extrusion-Based Bioprinting

Most common for hydrogel printing. It allows high cell viability and is compatible with shear-thinning bioinks like gelatin-methacrylate (GelMA) [6].

3.2 Inkjet Bioprinting

Non-contact, drop-on-demand printing. Ideal for low-viscosity hydrogels but limited in structural fidelity [7].

Table 1: Comparison of natural and synthetic hydrogels in terms of biocompatibility, printability, and degradation.

Property	Natural Hydrogels	Synthetic Hydrogels
Examples	Gelatin, Alginate, Collagen, Chitosan	PEG, PVA, Pluronic F127, PEGDA
Biocompatibility	Excellent (inherent bioactivity)	Generally good (can be tailored)
Printability	Often poor (low viscosity, batch variability)	High (consistent, tunable rheology)
Crosslinking	Often ionic or enzymatic	Photo/chemical crosslinking (UV/thermal)
Degradation Control	Limited tunability	Precisely controllable (via chemistry)
Mechanical Strength	Weak (often needs reinforcement)	Good (tailored for mechanical applications)
Cell Affinity	High (natural ECM mimicry)	Low unless functionalized
Cost and Availability	Relatively cheap, widely available	Often expensive, requires synthesis

3.3 Stereolithography (SLA)

Utilizes photo-crosslinkable hydrogels like PEGDA with UV-curable resins for high-resolution scaffolds [8].

4. Properties of Printable Hydrogels

Key parameters influencing hydrogel suitability for 3D printing include:

1. Rheology and shear-thinning behavior [9]
2. Crosslinking kinetics [10]
3. Swelling ratio and mechanical stiffness [11]
4. Biocompatibility and degradation [12]

5. Applications in Biomedical Engineering

5.1 Tissue Engineering

Cartilage, skin, and vascular grafts [13]

Use of cell-laden bioinks for direct cell printing

5.2 Drug Delivery

Hydrogel matrices allow controlled drug release profiles tailored by mesh size and degradation [14].

5.3 Wound Healing

Hydrogels like chitosan and alginate form bioactive dressings promoting moist healing environments [15].

5.4 Organ-on-a-Chip Systems

Miniaturized constructs using photopolymerizable PEG-based hydrogels simulate organ microenvironments [16].

Figure 4 near here

6. Challenges and Future Perspectives

Despite significant progress, several barriers remain:

Limited mechanical strength of hydrogels [17]

Need for standardized rheological parameters for bioink design [18]

Integration with vascularization and long-term in vivo studies [19]

Emerging strategies such as 4D bioprinting (stimuli-responsive hydrogels) and composite hydrogels (e.g., nanocellulose-reinforced GelMA) aim to address these gaps [20].

7. Conclusion

Hydrogels hold great promise as bioinks for 3D printing due to their biomimetic properties and tunability. Continued advances in material chemistry, crosslinking strategies, and hybrid fabrication techniques will pave the way for clinical-grade tissue engineering and biomedical devices.

References

- [1] Lee KY, Mooney DJ. Hydrogels for tissue engineering. *Chem Rev.* 2001;101(7):1869–1880.
- [2] Hoffman AS. Hydrogels for biomedical applications. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2012;64:18–23.
- [3] Dutta RC, Dutta AK. Cell-interactive 3D-scaffold; advances and applications. *Biotechnol Adv.* 2009;27(4):334–339.
- [4] Seliktar D. Designing cell-compatible hydrogels for biomedical applications. *Science.* 2012;336(6085):1124–1128.
- [5] Zhang YS, Khademhosseini A. Advances in engineering hydrogels. *Science.* 2017;356(6337):eaaf3627.
- [6] Liu W, Zhang YS, Heinrich MA, et al. Rapid continuous multimaterial extrusion bioprinting. *Adv Mater.* 2017;29(3):1604630.
- [7] Xu T, Zhao W, Zhu JM, et al. Complex heterogeneous tissue constructs containing multiple cell types prepared by inkjet printing technology. *Biomaterials.* 2013;34(1):130–139.
- [8] Lin L, et al. Stereolithography-based 3D printing of cell-laden hydrogel constructs for biomedical applications. *Mater Sci Eng C.* 2018;82:252–262.
- [9] Chimene D, Lennox KK, Kaunas RR, Gaharwar AK. Advanced bioinks for 3D printing. *Adv Mater.* 2016;28(27):5562–5592.
- [10] Yue K, Trujillo-de Santiago G, Alvarez MM, et al. Synthesis, properties, and biomedical applications of gelatinmethacryloyl (GelMA) hydrogels. *Biomaterials.* 2015;73:254–271.
- [11] Drury JL, Mooney DJ. Hydrogels for tissue engineering: scaffold design variables and applications. *Biomaterials.* 2003;24(24):4337–4351.
- [12] Kloxin AM, Kasko AM, Salinas CN, Anseth KS. Photodegradable hydrogels for dynamic tuning of physical and chemical properties. *Science.* 2009;324(5923):59–63.
- [13] Ovsianikov A, et al. Laser photofabrication of cell-containing hydrogel constructs. *Langmuir.* 2013;29(14):4931–4939.
- [14] Annabi N, Tamayol A, Uquillas JA, et al. 25th Anniversary Article: Rational design and applications of hydrogels in regenerative medicine. *Adv Mater.* 2014; 26(1):85–124.
- [15] Boateng JS, Matthews KH, Stevens HN, Eccleston GM. Wound healing dressings and drug delivery systems: a review. *J Pharm Sci.* 2008;97(8):2892–2923.
- [16] Bhatia SN, Ingber DE. Microfluidic organs-on-chips. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2014;32(8):760–772.

- [17] Tabriz AG, Hermida MA, Leslie NR, Shu W. Three-dimensional bioprinting of complex cell laden alginate hydrogel structures. *Biofabrication*. 2015;7(4):045012.
- [18] Ribeiro A, Blokzijl MM, Levato R, et al. Assessing bioink shape fidelity to aid material development in 3D bioprinting. *Biofabrication*. 2017; 10(1):014102.
- [19] Murphy SV, Atala A. 3D bioprinting of tissues and organs. *Nat Biotechnol*. 2014; 32(8):773–785.
- [20] Miao S, Zhu W, Castro NJ, Nowicki M, Zhou X, Cui H, et al. 4D printing of personalized biomedical devices. *Adv Biosyst*. 2017;1(1):1600038.