Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology
ISSN: 1001-4055
Vol. 45 No. 3 (2024)

Applications of Difference Equations in Enhancement of Digital Signal Processing and Reducing Signal Noise Ratio

D. Dorathy Prema Kavitha [1], L. Francis Raj [2]
[1, 2]Department of Mathematics, Voorhees College, Vellore,
Affiliated to Thiruvalluvar University, Tamil Nadu, India

Abstract:- In digital signal processing (DSP), the enhancement of signal quality and the reduction of noise are paramount for accurate data interpretation and transmission. This paper investigates the use of difference equations in achieving these objectives. Through rigorous derivations, theorems, and lemmas, this paper demonstrates how difference equations can be effectively utilized to enhance digital signals and reduce signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Control systems often need to handle perturbations, which are deviations in the system dynamics due to external disturbances or modeling inaccuracies. Perturbed difference equations provide a framework to analyze and design control systems that can maintain stability and performance despite these perturbations. This paper presents a detailed study on the application of perturbed difference equations in control systems, including recent mathematical developments and their derivations.

Keywords*:* Perturbed difference Equations, Signal-to-noise ratio, control system.

1. Introduction

Digital signal processing involves the manipulation of signals to improve their quality and to extract valuable information. Noise reduction and signal enhancement are critical challenges in DSP. Difference equations, which are discrete analogs of differential equations, provide powerful tools for analyzing and processing digital signals. This paper explores the mathematical foundations and practical applications of difference equations in enhancing DSP and reducing SNR.In control theory, difference equations are extensively used to model and analyze the behavior of discrete-time systems [1]. These equations provide a framework for designing and implementing control algorithms in digital controllers. This section will present recent mathematical equations used in control theory, explain their significance, and derive these equations [2].The state space representation is a powerful tool for modeling and analyzing discrete-time control systems. It provides a systematic way to describe the dynamics of a system using state variables [3].

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 : Difference Equations: A difference equation is a mathematical equation that relates a function with its shifts. For a discrete-time signal$x[n]$, a linear difference equation is given by:

$$a_k y[n+k] + a_{k-1} y[n+k-1] + \cdots + a_0 y[n] = b_m x[n+m] + b_{m-1} x[n+m-1] + \cdots + b_0 x[n] \quad (1)$$

where a_1 and b_1 are constants, $y[n]$is the output signal, and $x[n]$ is the input signal.

Definition 2.2 : Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR): The SNR is a measure of signal strength relative to background noise. It is defined as:

$$\text{SNR} = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{P_{\text{signal}}}{P_{\text{noise}}}\right)\ \text{dB} \quad (2)$$

where P_{signal} and P_{noise} are the power of the signal and noise, respectively.

To enhance a digital signal, we use difference equations to implement filters that attenuate noise while preserving the desired signal components.

Moving Average Filter : A simple yet effective noise reduction technique is the moving average filter. For an input signal$x[n]$, the output$y[n]$is given by

$$y[n] = \frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=0}^{M} x\,[n-k] \tag{3}$$

This can be expressed as a difference equation [4]

$$y[n] = \frac{1}{N}(x[n] + x[n-1] + \cdots + x[n-N+1]) \tag{4}$$

Finite Impulse Response (FIR) Filter: An FIR filter of order M is described by:

$$y[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k\, x[n-k] \tag{5}$$

The difference equation for an FIR filter is [5]:

$$y[n] = b_0 x[n] + b_1 x[n-1] + \cdots + b_M x[n-M] \tag{6}$$

Infinite Impulse Response (IIR) Filter : An IIR filter is characterized by the recursive relation

$$y[n] = \sum_{k=0}^{N} b_k\, x[n-k] - \sum_{j=1}^{M} a_j\, y[n-j] \tag{7}$$

The difference equation for an IIR filter is [6]:

$$a_0 y[n] + a_1 y[n-1] + \cdots + a_M y[n-M] = b_0 x[n] + b_1 x[n-1] + \cdots + b_N x[n-N] \tag{8}$$

The system is stable if$|z| < 1$ for all roots of$A(z) = 0$. This ensures that the output does not diverge for bounded input signals. This leads to linearity and time-invariance. Linearity follows from the superposition principle, and time-invariance follows from the constant coefficients, which do not change with time shifts [7].

Theorem 2.3 (Frequency Response of FIR Filters) : The frequency response$H(e^{j\omega})$ of an FIR filter is given by the discrete-time Fourier transform (DTFT) of its impulse response:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{k=0}^{M} b_k\, e^{-j\omega} \tag{9}$$

Proof: By definition, we have, $h[n] = \{b_0, b_1, \dots, b_M\}$is

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} h\,[n] e^{-j\omega}$$

Since$h[n]$ is nonzero only for$0 \le n \le M$, this leads to the proof.

To demonstrate the practical implementation of difference equations in signal enhancement, we consider a noisy signal $x[n]$ and apply an FIR filter. Then apply the difference equation to the noisy signal to obtain the enhanced signal$y[n]$.To quantify the noise reduction, we compare the SNR before and after filtering [8]. Let P_{signal} and P_{noise} be the power of the signal and noise, respectively.

$$\text{SNR}_{\text{before}} = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{P_{\text{signal}}}{P_{\text{noise}}}\right)$$

After filtering, the noise power $P_{signal,\ filtered}$ and P_{signal} is reduced, leading to an improved SNR as,

$$\mathrm{SNR}_{\mathrm{after}} = 10\log_{10}\left(\frac{P_{\mathrm{signal}}}{P_{\mathrm{noise,\ filtered}}}\right)$$

Theorem 2.4: A linear difference equation retains the property of linearity, meaning that the superposition principle holds. If $y_1[n]$ and $y_2[n]$ are solutions to the linear difference equation for inputs If $x_1[n)$ and $x_2[n)$ respectively, then for any constantsα andβ, $\alpha y_1[n] + \beta y_2[n]$ is a solution for the input$\alpha x_1[n] + \beta x_2[n]$.

Proof: Consider the linear difference equation [9]:

$$a_k y[n+k] + a_{k-1} y[n+k-1] + \cdots + a_0 y[n] = b_m x[n+m] + b_{m-1} x[n+m-1] + \cdots + b_0 x[n]$$

Given $y_1[n]$ and $y_2[n]$ are solutions, then

$$a_k y_1[n+k] + \cdots + a_0 y_1[n] = b_m x_1[n+m] + \cdots + b_0 x_1[n]$$

$$a_k y_2[n+k] + \cdots + a_0 y_2[n] = b_m x_2[n+m] + \cdots + b_0 x_2[n] \tag{10}$$

Multiplying the first by $\alpha$and the second byβ, and adding we get:

$$a_k(\alpha y_1[n+k] + \beta y_2[n+k]) + \cdots + a_0(\alpha y_1[n] + \beta y_2[n])$$
$$= b_m(\alpha x_1[n+m] + \beta x_2[n+m]) + \cdots + b_0(\alpha x_1[n] + \beta x_2[n])$$

Thus, $\alpha y_1[n] + \beta y_2[n]$is a solution for$\alpha x_1[n] + \beta x_2[n]$.

Then consider the linear difference equation:

$$a_k y[n+k] + a_{k-1} y[n+k-1] + \cdots + a_0 y[n] = b_m x[n+m] + b_{m-1} x[n+m-1] + \cdots + b_0 x[n]$$

Suppose $y[n]$ is the solution for$x[n]$. For the shifted input$x[n-n_0]$, the equation becomes:

$$a_k y[n-n_0+k] + a_{k-1} y[n-n_0+k-1] + \cdots + a_0 y[n-n_0] =$$
$$b_m x[n-n_0+m] + b_{m-1} x[n-n_0+m-1] + \cdots + b_0 x[n-n_0]$$

By substituting $m' = n - n_0$, we see that the equation retains its form, showing that $y[n-n_0]$ is the solution for $x[n-n_0]$.

The characteristic equation of a linear difference equation:

$$A(z) = a_0 z^M + a_1 z^{M-1} + \cdots + a_M$$

and

$$y_h[n] = \sum_{i=1}^{M} C_i \lambda_i^n \tag{11}$$

whereλ_i are the roots of$A(z) = 0$. For the system to be stable,$y_h[n]$ must be bounded for all n. This is true if $|\lambda_i| < 1$ for all i, ensuring that each term λ_i^n decays to zero as $n$increases.

Theorem 2.5: Causality of Linear Difference Equations A linear difference equation is causal if the current output $y[n]$ depends only on the current and past inputs $x[n], x[n-1], \ldots, x[n-m]$ and not on future inputs $x[n+k]$ for $k > 0$.

Proof: Consider the linear difference equation:

$$a_k y[n+k] + a_{k-1} y[n+k-1] + \cdots + a_0 y[n] = b_m x[n+m] + b_{m-1} x[n+m-1] + \cdots + b_0 x[n]$$

For the system to be causal, a_k must be zero for $k > 0$, the equation should be:

$$a_0 y[n] + a_1 y[n-1] + \cdots + a_M y[n-M] = b_0 x[n] + b_1 x[n-1] + \cdots + b_N x[n-N]$$

Thus, $y[n]$ depends only on $x[n]$ and past values $x[n-1], \ldots, x[n-N]$, ensuring causality.

Theorem 2.6: Frequency Response of Linear Difference Equations: The frequency response $H(e^{j\omega})$ of a linear time-invariant system described by a linear difference equation can be derived from its impulse response.

Proof: Consider the linear difference equation with input $x[n]$ and output $y[n]$:

$$a_0 y[n] + a_1 y[n-1] + \cdots + a_M y[n-M] = b_0 x[n] + b_1 x[n-1] + \cdots + b_N x[n-N]$$

Taking the Z-transform:

$$A(z)Y(z) = B(z)X(z)$$

where $A(z) = a_0 + a_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + a_M z^{-M}$ and $B(z) = b_0 + b_1 z^{-1} + \cdots + b_N z^{-N}$. The transfer function is:

$$H(z) = \frac{Y(z)}{X(z)} = \frac{B(z)}{A(z)}$$

Evaluating at $z = e^{j\omega}$, we get the frequency response:

$$H(e^{j\omega}) = \frac{B(e^{j\omega})}{A(e^{j\omega})} = \frac{b_0 + b_1 e^{-j\omega} + \cdots + b_N e^{-jN\omega}}{a_0 + a_1 e^{-j\omega} + \cdots + a_M e^{-jM\omega}}$$

These theorems provide a robust mathematical framework for analyzing and processing digital signals using difference equations. They establish the principles of linearity, time invariance, stability, causality, and frequency response, all of which are fundamental in designing and implementing digital signal processing systems.

3. Stability of Digital Systems Using Difference Equations

Stability is a fundamental property of digital systems that ensures bounded input results in bounded output. For systems described by linear difference equations, stability can be analyzed using the characteristic equation associated with the system. This section will state and derive the theorem for stability using the characteristic roots of the difference equation.

Theorem 3.1: Stability of Linear Difference Equations (Characteristic Root Criterion) A linear difference equation describing a digital system is stable if and only if all the roots of its characteristic equation lie within the unit circle in the complex plane.

Consider the homogeneous form of the difference equation

$$a_0 y[n] + a_1 y[n-1] + \cdots + a_M y[n-M] = 0$$

Assume a solution of the form $y[n] = \lambda^n$. Substituting $y[n] = \lambda^n$ into the homogeneous equation gives by factoring out by λ^{n-M}, leads to the arrival of the characteristic equation. The general solution to the homogeneous difference equation is a linear combination of the roots of the characteristic equation:

$$y[n] = C_1 \lambda_1^n + C_2 \lambda_2^n + \cdots + C_M \lambda_M^n \tag{12}$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_M$ are the roots of the characteristic equation. For the stability of the system we consideredBounded Input, Bounded Output (BIBO) stability, where the output $y[n]$ must be bounded for any bounded input $x[n]$. This implies that for any initial conditions, the homogeneous solution $y[n]$ must not grow unbounded as $n \to \infty$.

Each term $C_i \lambda_i^n$ in the general solution contributes to the output. For the output $y[n]$ to remain bounded, each term must decay or remain constant as n increases, i.e., $|\lambda_i| < 1$. If any $|\lambda_i| \geq 1$, then $y[n]$ could grow unbounded, leading to an unstable system.Hence, the system is stable if and only if all roots λ_i of the characteristic equation satisfy $|\lambda_i| < 1$.

Example 3.2: Consider a second-order linear difference equation:

$$y[n] + 1.5y[n-1] + 0.7y[n-2] = x[n]$$

Solving for λ , we get:

$$\lambda = \frac{1.5 \pm \sqrt{0.25}}{2} = \{1, 0.7\}$$

Since both roots 1 and 0.7 lie within the unit circle, so the system is stable.

The state equation describes the evolution of the state vector $x[k]$ at time step k to the next time step $k+1$. It consists of two parts:

$Ax[k]$: This term represents the influence of the current state on the next state. The matrix A is called the state transition matrix.

$Bu[k]$: This term represents the influence of the current input on the next state. The matrix B is called the input matrix.

The state equation is derived from the system dynamics, which are typically obtained from physical laws or empirical data. Simultaneously the output equation describes how the current state $x[k]$ and input $u[k]$ affect the output $y[k]$. It consists of two parts:

$Cx[k]$: This term represents the contribution of the current state to the output. The matrix C is called the output matrix.

$Du[k]$: This term represents the direct contribution of the current input to the output. The output equation is derived based on the measurement model of the system.

Example 3.3: Discrete-Time Linear Quadratic Regulator (LQR)

It aims to design a control law that minimizes a quadratic cost function. For a discrete-time system described by the state space representation, the LQR problem can be formulated as to minimize the cost function as

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x^T[k]Qx[k] + u^T[k]Ru[k]) \tag{13}$$

subject to the state equation.

Here, $Q \geq 0$ and $R > 0$ are weighting matrices that define the relative importance of the state and control input in the cost function. To solve the LQR problem, we define the Hamiltonian function which involves the cost-to-go matrix at time $k+1$. The optimal control law can be obtained by minimizing the Hamiltonian with respect to $u[k]$:

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial u[k]} = 0, \implies 2Ru[k] + 2B^T P_{k+1} Ax[k] = 0$$

Solving for $u[k]$, we get:

$$u[k] = -(R + B^T P_{k+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} Ax[k]$$

The matrix $K_k = (R + B^T P_{k+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A$ is known as the feedback gain.The cost-to-go matrix P_k is obtained by solving the discrete-time Riccati equation:

$$P_k = Q + A^T P_{k+1} A - A^T P_{k+1} B (R + B^T P_{k+1} B)^{-1} B^T P_{k+1} A \tag{14}$$

This equation is solved backward in time starting from a terminal condition P_N for finite horizon problems or iteratively for infinite horizon problems. The optimal control law can be expressed in a more compact form as:

$$u[k] = Kx[k]$$

where $K = (R + B^T PB)^{-1} B^T PA$ and P is the solution to the algebraic Riccati equation for the infinite horizon case.Consider a discrete-time system with the following parameters:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, R = 1$$

State Equation:

$$x[k+1] = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} x[k] + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u[k]$$

Output Equation:

$$y[k] = Cx[k] (\text{assuming no direct feed through, i.e., } D = 0)$$

Solve the discrete-time Riccati equation iteratively or using numerical methods to find the matrix P. Then compute the feedback gain matrix K as follows,

$$K = (R + B^T PB)^{-1} B^T PA$$

Then applied the optimal control law to regulate the system and we get,

$$u[k] = -Kx[k]$$

4. Perturbed Difference Equations in Control Systems

In control systems, perturbations or disturbances are inevitable due to modeling inaccuracies, external influences, or noise. Perturbed difference equations provide a framework to analyze and design control systems under such disturbances. This section will introduce perturbed difference equations, explain their significance in control systems, and derive relevant equations.A perturbed difference equation for a discrete-time control system can be represented as follows:

State Equation with Perturbation:

$$x[k+1] = Ax[k] + Bu[k] + w[k]$$

where $w[k]$ represents the perturbation or disturbance affecting the system. Output Equation with Perturbation:

$$y[k] = Cx[k] + Du[k] + v[k] \tag{15}$$

where $v[k]$ represents the measurement noise or perturbation affecting the output. Consider a linear time-invariant discrete-time control system with state and output equations. The perturbed state and output equations related to difference equations are Nominal State Equation:

$$x[k+1] = Ax[k] + Bu[k]$$

$w[k]$ is the perturbation affecting the state dynamics.

Nominal Output Equation:

$$y[k] = Cx[k] + Du[k]$$

Adding Perturbation to Output Equation:

$$y[k] = Cx[k] + Du[k] + v[k]$$

Here, $v[k]$ is the measurement noise affecting the output. Let us consider a robust state feedback control design for a perturbed system. State Feedback Control Law:

$$u[k] = -Kx[k]$$

where K is the state feedback gain. Closed-Loop System with Perturbation: Substituting the control law into the perturbed state equation:

$$x[k+1] = (A - BK)x[k] + w[k]$$

Analysis of Robust Stability: To analyze the robustness of the closed-loop system, we need to ensure that the system remains stable for bounded perturbations $w[k]$.To analyze the stability and robustness of the perturbed system, we can use the Lyapunov method.Consider a Lyapunov function candidate $V(x[k]) = x^T[k]Px[k]$, where P is a positive definite matrix.Substituting $x[k+1]$ from the closed-loop system equation,

$$\big((A - BK)x[k] + w[k]\big)^T P\big((A - BK)x[k] + w[k]\big) - x^T[k]Px[k]$$

Ensuring Stability: For the system to be robustly stable, ΔV should be negative definite:

$$\Delta V < 0 \Longrightarrow (A - BK)^T P(A - BK) - P + w^T[k]Pw[k] < 0$$

The above condition can be formulated as an LMI (Linear Matrix Inequality)for numerical solutions:

$$\begin{bmatrix} P & (A - BK)^T P \\ P(A - BK) & P \end{bmatrix} > 0$$

Consider a discrete-time system with the following parameters

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{bmatrix}$$

and the corresponding perturbed state equation:

$$x[k+1] = \begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix} x[k] + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{bmatrix} u[k] + w[k]$$

Design a state feedback controller K using methods like pole placement or LQR to achieve desired closed-loop performanceas ,

$$x[k+1] = \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1.1 & 0.2 \\ 0.1 & 0.9 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 \\ 0.2 \end{bmatrix} K\right) x[k] + w[k]$$

Ensure the robustness of the closed-loop system by checking the LMI condition or using Lyapunov methods.Perturbed difference equations are crucial for analyzing and designing robust control systems that can withstand disturbances and uncertainties. By incorporating perturbations into the state and output equations, we can derive control laws that ensure stability and desired performance even in the presence of disturbances.Control systems are essential in various engineering applications, where maintaining desired performance in the presence of uncertainties is crucial. Perturbed difference equations help model these uncertainties and design robust control strategies.

A perturbed difference equation can be written as:

$$x[k+1] = (A + \Delta A[k])x[k] + (B + \Delta B[k])u[k]$$

where $\Delta A[k]$ and $\Delta B[k]$ represent the perturbations in the system matrices A and B respectively.

The stability of a perturbed system can be analyzed using the concept of robust stability. A common approach is to examine the spectral radius of the perturbed system matrix.

Theorem 4.1 (Robust Stability):A perturbed discrete-time linear system is robustly stable if there exists a matrix $P > 0$ such that:

$$(A + \Delta A[k])^T P(A + \Delta A[k]) - P < 0$$

for all admissible perturbations $\Delta A[k]$.

Proof:The difference ΔV between two successive time steps is substituted by Perturbed System equation like substituting $x[k+1] = (A + \Delta A[k])x[k]$ into $V(x[k+1])$, we get:

$$V(x[k+1]) = x[k]^T (A + \Delta A[k])^T P(A + \Delta A[k])x[k]$$

Stability Condition: For the system to be stable, $\Delta V < 0$ for all $x[k] \neq 0$. Therefore:

$$(A + \Delta A[k])^T P (A + \Delta A[k]) - P < 0$$

This ensures that the perturbed system remains stable for all admissible perturbations. The objective is to design a control law $u[k] = Kx[k]$ that minimizes the cost function:

$$J = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x[k]^T Q x[k] + u[k]^T R u[k])$$

where $Q \geq 0$ and $R > 0$ are the weighting matrices.Robust Control for Perturbed Systems:To ensure robustness, the gain k must be designed to stabilize the system for all admissible perturbations $\Delta A[k]$. This can be achieved by incorporating robust control techniques such as H_∞ control.

Robust Stability Analysis: Calculate $P > 0,$ such that:

$$(A + A[k])^T P (A + \Delta A[k]) - P < 0$$

for all $A[k]$. Then applied the control law $u[k] = -Kx[k]$ to the perturbed system and verify stability and performance through simulations or experiments.

5. Conclusion

The state space representation, discrete-time LQR, and the associated Riccati equation are fundamental tools used to develop optimal control laws. Perturbed difference equations focused design of robust control systems. By ensuring stability and performance in the presence of uncertainties, characteristic root criterion provides a clear and practical method for assessing the stability of digital systems described by linear difference equations. By analyzing the roots of the characteristic equation, we can determine whether the system will remain stable and produce bounded outputs for any bounded input. Through the application of moving average filters, FIR filters, and IIR filters, noise can be effectively attenuated, and signal quality was improved. The theoretical foundations, including stability criteria and frequency response analysis, provide a robust framework for designing and implementing these filters. Future research can explore adaptive filtering techniques and their applications in real-time digital signal problems.

References

[1] R. P. Agarwal, Difference Equations and Inequalities, Marcel Dekker, New York, NY, USA, 2nd edition, 2000.

[2] Elizabeth S and Jothilakshmi R, Quantifying and reducing the signal noise using nonlinear Stochastic Difference Equations, Applied Mechanics and Materials Vol. 573 (2014) pp 489-494, Trans Tech Publications, Switzerland.

[3] JothilakshmiR, Effectiveness of the Extended Kalman filter through difference equations, Nonlinear dynamics and systems theory, 15 (3), 290 -297, 2015.

[4] Saber N.Elaydi, An Introduction to Difference Equations,2/e,Springer Verlag,1999.

[5] J. Mao, D. Ding, Y. Song, and FE. Alsaadi, Event-based recursive filtering for time-delayed stochastic nonlinear systems with missing measurements Vol, *Signal Processing*, vol. 134, pp. 158-165, 2017.

[6] Z. Zhou and Q. Zhang, Uniform stability of nonlinear difference systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl.225 (1998), pp. 486–500.

[7] Oppenheim, A. V., Schafer, R. W., & Buck, J. R. (1999). Discrete-Time Signal Processing. Prentice Hall.

[8] Proakis, J. G., & Manolakis, D. G. (2007), Digital Signal Processing: Principles, Algorithms, and Applications. Prentice Hall.

[9] Rabiner, L. R., & Gold, B. (1975). *Theory and Application of Digital Signal Processing. Prentice Hall.