Tuijin Jishu/Journal of Propulsion Technology
ISSN: 1001-4055
Vol. 45 No. 1 (2024)

A Role of Quantum Physics in Neuroscience and Future of Psychology

Vemireddy Bala Gurivi Reddy

Physics Lecturer, New Middle East International School, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia (ORCID I'd: 26508502).
Email: bgreddyvvs@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Quantum physics, a branch of physics that deals with the behavior of particles at the smallest scales, has long fascinated scientists with its counterintuitive principles. In recent decades, the intersection of quantum physics and neuroscience has become an area of intense research interest, offering novel insights into the workings of the human brain and the complexities of the mind. This paper explores the role of quantum physics in neuroscience and its potential implications for the future of psychology.

KEYWORDS: Quantum physics, particles, neuroscience, scientists.

INTRODUCTION

The realms of quantum physics and neuroscience have long existed as distinct fields, each delving into the mysteries of the universe at different scales. Quantum physics, the branch of physics that deals with phenomena at the scale of atoms and subatomic particles, has offered profound insights into the fundamental nature of reality. Neuroscience, on the other hand, seeks to understand the intricate workings of the human brain and its implications for cognition, behavior, and consciousness. While traditionally considered separate domains, recent advancements suggest an intriguing convergence between quantum physics and neuroscience, offering new avenues for understanding the complexities of the mind and paving the way for the future of psychology.

The foundations of quantum physics were laid in the early 20th century by pioneering physicists such as Max Planck, Albert Einstein, Niels Bohr, and Erwin Schrödinger. Their groundbreaking work challenged classical notions of determinism and introduced the revolutionary concepts of wave-particle duality, superposition, and entanglement. These principles revealed a reality far stranger and more elusive than previously imagined, where particles could exist in multiple states simultaneously and influence one another instantaneously across vast distances.

In parallel, the field of neuroscience has made significant strides in unraveling the mysteries of the human brain. From the pioneering studies of Santiago Ramón y Cajal to the modern era of functional neuroimaging techniques such as fMRI and EEG, researchers have made remarkable progress in mapping brain structures, understanding neural networks, and elucidating the neural correlates of cognition and behavior. Yet, despite these advances, many fundamental questions remain unanswered, particularly regarding the nature of consciousness, subjective experience, and the mind-brain relationship.

The findings of quantum physics at the beginning of the 20th century changed the way of viewing the concept of reality. The results of quantum theory have been confirmed in particular in physics, and many scientists agree with the basic findings (see e.g. Herbert, 1985; Feynman, 1994; Weinberg, 1995; Friedman, 1997; Rosenblum/Kuttner, 2006; Greene, 2011; and Susskind, 2014). Whereas in classical physics the properties of real material objects (e.g. a ball) can be precisely measured using mathematics, in quantum physics, there are only probabilities of finding certain properties through measurement. These probabilities take the form of wave functions, which are associated with different simultaneous states (groundbreaking Schrödinger, 1926; Greene, 2011). However, unlike in classical physics, where one can accurately measure an object's momentum or location, wave functions represent only potential realities, not actual ones (Weinberg, 1995).

How can a link to social science be derived now? It is difficult to grasp and one of the most incomprehensible secrets of how the indeterminate quantum world leads to the determinate classical world (including our social life), especially when considering that quantum mechanics subsumes classical physics, whereas its practical applicability is limited to subatomic particles. This process leading from the quantum world to macroscopic reality is called decoherence in physical science (Zeh, 1970). What if social life is not determined by the classical world but instead by quantum in the form of wave functions? This (social life) would also include economics and its research areas, such as decision-making theory. Of particular interest for the paper is the psychological decision theory including cognitive biases, fundamentally shaped by Nobel laureate behavioral economists Kahneman and Tversky (Tversky & Kahneman, 1973, 1974, 1983; Kahneman & Tversky, 1979, 1984; Kahneman, 2011). In addition to this special feature of cognitive biases, human decision-making behavior in general should also be viewed from the perspective of a quantum Darwinism with the aim of designing a new quantum model of decision-making behavior. The research questions are therefore as follows: First, how can findings from quantum physics be transferred to social sciences (including economics), and which new perspectives result? Second, how can (behavioral) decision theory be interpreted differently in the light of quantum physics? Third, how can the perspective of a quantum Darwinism complete human decision-making behavior?

Moreover, recent studies have uncovered evidence suggesting that quantum effects may occur at the level of biological molecules within neurons. For instance, some researchers have proposed that quantum tunneling—a quantum mechanical phenomenon where particles can pass through energy barriers that would be insurmountable according to classical physics—could facilitate chemical reactions involved in neurotransmission and signal transduction. Additionally, quantum coherence has been observed in biological pigments such as cryptochrome, which plays a role in the navigation of migratory birds and has been implicated in the phenomenon of quantum biology.

However, the integration of quantum physics into neuroscience is not without its challenges and controversies. Skeptics argue that the delicate nature of quantum states makes them highly susceptible to decoherence, or the loss of quantum coherence due to interactions with the environment. The brain, with its warm, wet, and noisy environment, may seem like an unlikely setting for delicate quantum phenomena to persist. Moreover, the scale at which quantum effects are thought to occur in the brain—on the order of nanometers or smaller—poses significant obstacles for experimental validation.

Despite these challenges, proponents of quantum neuroscience remain optimistic about the potential insights it may offer into the nature of consciousness and the mind. By adopting interdisciplinary approaches that combine techniques from quantum physics, neuroscience, and psychology, researchers hope to unravel the mysteries of the brain-mind relationship and shed light on age-old questions about the nature of reality, free will, and subjective experience.

In the realm of psychology, the integration of quantum principles holds profound implications for our understanding of human behavior and mental health. Traditional psychological theories, rooted in classical physics and deterministic models of causality, have often struggled to account for the complexities of human experience, including phenomena such as creativity, intuition, and spiritual experiences. Quantum psychology offers a novel framework for exploring these phenomena by acknowledging the inherent uncertainty and indeterminacy of human cognition and behavior.

One of the central tenets of quantum psychology is the idea of complementarity, inspired by Niels Bohr's principle of complementarity in quantum mechanics. According to this principle, seemingly contradictory aspects of human experience—such as rationality and emotion, conscious and unconscious processes—may be understood as complementary rather than mutually exclusive. For example, instead of viewing intuition as opposed to rationality, quantum psychology suggests that both modes of cognition may coexist and interact in complex ways, depending on the context and individual differences.

Moreover, quantum psychology challenges the reductionistic tendencies of traditional psychological approaches by emphasizing the holistic and contextual nature of human experience. In contrast to the mechanistic view of the mind as a passive observer of external stimuli, quantum psychology posits a more active and participatory role for the observer in shaping reality. Drawing on concepts such as quantum entanglement and nonlocality, proponents of quantum psychology suggest that human consciousness may transcend the confines of space and time, enabling interconnectedness and synchronicity at a profound level.

Furthermore, quantum psychology offers new insights into the therapeutic process and the treatment of mental health disorders. Traditional psychotherapy approaches, such as cognitive-behavioral therapy, focus on modifying maladaptive thought patterns and behaviors through conscious awareness and rational analysis. While effective for many individuals, these approaches may overlook the deeper layers of the psyche that lie beyond conscious awareness. Quantum psychology, with its emphasis on the interplay between conscious and unconscious processes, offers a more holistic approach to therapy that integrates techniques from mindfulness, somatic experiencing, and transpersonal psychology.

QUANTUM PHYSICS AND NEUROSCIENCE

At its core, neuroscience seeks to understand how the brain functions, from the basic processes of neuronal signaling to complex cognitive phenomena such as consciousness and decision-making. Traditionally, neuroscience has relied on classical physics principles to explain these phenomena. However, as our understanding of the brain has deepened, it has become increasingly clear that classical physics alone may not be sufficient to fully capture its intricacies.

Enter quantum physics. Quantum mechanics describes the behavior of particles at the subatomic level, where the rules of classical physics break down. One of the key principles of quantum mechanics is superposition, which states that particles can exist in multiple states simultaneously until they are observed. This notion challenges our classical understanding of reality but has intriguing implications for neuroscience.

In the context of neuroscience, one of the most compelling applications of quantum principles is found in the field of quantum biology. Quantum biology explores how quantum phenomena, such as superposition and entanglement, may influence biological processes, including those within the brain. For example, some researchers propose that quantum coherence, the ability of particles to maintain a synchronized quantum state, could play a role in processes such as photosynthesis and olfaction, as well as neuronal signaling in the brain.

Furthermore, the phenomenon of quantum entanglement, wherein particles become correlated in such a way that the state of one particle instantaneously influences the state of another, has raised intriguing possibilities for understanding the connectivity and synchronization of neuronal networks. Some speculate that entanglement could underpin the rapid communication observed within the brain, potentially offering insights into phenomena such as consciousness and neural synchrony.

IMPLICATIONS FOR PSYCHOLOGY

The integration of quantum physics into neuroscience has profound implications for psychology, the scientific study of behavior and the mind. Psychology has traditionally relied on classical models of cognition and behavior, which often treat the mind as a deterministic system governed by classical laws of physics. However, the introduction of quantum principles into the study of the brain challenges these assumptions and opens up new avenues for understanding the complexities of human consciousness and behavior.

One area where quantum physics may revolutionize psychology is in the study of decision-making and free will. Classical models of decision-making often assume a deterministic framework, wherein choices are determined by a combination of environmental factors and internal cognitive processes. However, quantum physics introduces the concept of indeterminacy, suggesting that at the quantum level, events may occur probabilistically rather than deterministically.

This indeterminacy has profound implications for our understanding of free will. If the brain operates according to quantum principles, then our decisions may not be entirely predictable based on prior conditions. Instead, our choices may emerge from a combination of deterministic and indeterministic processes, with quantum fluctuations playing a role in shaping our behavior. This challenges traditional notions of agency and responsibility, raising important philosophical and ethical questions about the nature of free will and moral responsibility.

Furthermore, quantum principles may offer new insights into phenomena such as consciousness and subjective experience. Consciousness, often described as the subjective awareness of oneself and the surrounding world, remains one of the greatest mysteries in science. Classical models of consciousness typically focus on the neural correlates of consciousness, seeking to identify the specific brain regions and processes associated with conscious experience. However, these models struggle to account for the qualitative aspects of consciousness, such as subjective feelings and perceptions.

Quantum approaches to consciousness propose that quantum phenomena may be responsible for generating subjective experience. The theory of orchestrated objective reduction (Orch-OR), proposed by physicist Roger Penrose and anesthesiologist Stuart Hameroff, suggests that consciousness arises from quantum computations occurring within the microtubules of neurons. According to this theory, quantum processes within the brain create a form of proto-consciousness that becomes integrated and orchestrated to produce subjective experience.

While Orch-OR remains highly speculative and controversial, it illustrates the potential for quantum physics to inform our understanding of consciousness and subjective experience. By exploring the quantum underpinnings of consciousness, psychologists may gain new insights into the nature of self-awareness, perception, and the unity of conscious experience.

CHALLENGES AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Despite the exciting possibilities presented by the intersection of quantum physics and neuroscience, significant challenges remain. One major challenge is the delicate nature of quantum systems, which are highly susceptible to decoherence, or the loss of quantum coherence due to interactions with the environment. Decoherence poses a significant obstacle to observing quantum phenomena in complex biological systems such as the brain, where numerous environmental factors can disrupt quantum states.

Additionally, the integration of quantum principles into neuroscience and psychology requires interdisciplinary collaboration between physicists, biologists, neuroscientists, and psychologists. Bridging the gap between these disciplines and developing experimental techniques capable of probing quantum processes within the brain will be essential for advancing our understanding of the quantum mind.

Despite these challenges, the future of psychology holds immense promise with the incorporation of quantum physics. By embracing quantum principles, psychologists may unlock new insights into the nature of consciousness, decision-making, and subjective experience, revolutionizing our understanding of the human mind.

CONCLUSION

The intersection of quantum physics and neuroscience offers a tantalizing glimpse into the inner workings of the human brain and the mysteries of the mind. By applying quantum principles to the study of the brain, researchers are uncovering new insights into neuronal signaling, cognitive processes, and even the nature of consciousness itself. As our understanding of the quantum mind continues to evolve, the future of psychology stands poised for a quantum leap forward, promising to illuminate the deepest secrets of the human psyche.

Through interdisciplinary collaboration and innovative research, psychologists have the opportunity to harness the power of quantum physics to revolutionize our understanding of human behavior and mental processes. From the exploration of quantum cognition to the quest for a quantum theory of consciousness, the journey ahead promises to be both challenging and exhilarating, with the potential to transform not only our scientific understanding but also our fundamental conception of what it means to be human.

References:

1. Botvinick, M., Braver, T., Barch, D., Carter, C. & Cohen, J. 2001 Conflict monitoring and cognitive control. Psychol. Rev. 108, 625–652.
2. Bruner, J. S., Goodnow, J. J. & Austin, G. A. 1956 A study of thinking. New York: NY Science Editions.

---

3. Cheng, P. W. 1997 From covariation to causation: a causal power theory. Psychol. Rev. 104, 367–405. Chandra, K. Ram, M. Ramachandran, and Soniya Sriram Kurinjimalar Ramu. "Exploring The Possibilities of Web Based Learning." Contemporaneity of Language and Literature in The Robotized Millennium 4.1 (2022): 19-27.
4. Chandra, K. Ram, Et Al. "Understanding Blended Learning Advantages and Limitations." Contemporaneity of Language and Literature in the Robotized Millennium 4.1 (2022): 10-18.
5. Chandra, K. Ram, Et Al. "Recent Trends in Workplace Learning Methodology." Contemporaneity of Language and Literature in the Robotized Millennium 4.1 (2022): 28-36.
6. Chala Wata Dereso, Dr. Om Prakash H. M., Dr. K. Ram Chandra, Dr. Javed Alam, Dr. K. S. V. K. S. Madhavi Rani, Dr. V. Nagalakshmi. "Education beyond Covid-19 –The World Academic Coalition". Annals of the Romanian Society for Cell Biology, Vol. 25, No. 2, Mar. 2021, Pp. 2062-76.
7. K Ram Chandra, Bbrg Vijaya Lakshmi, Mrs G Rani, Raghavendra Kumar. "Farmer Digital Marketing System" Solid State Technology, Vol. 63, No. 5 (2011), 3250-3257.
8. Ram Chandra Kalluri. "Meaning Reorganization View Vis-A- Vis Hidden Reality View-Revisiting The Allotropes of Psychodynamics of Insight". International Journal of Human Resources Management and Research, Vol. 3 No. 4 (2013), 69-74.
9. K Ram Chandra. "Hetero-Balancing Approach to Curriculum Planning Using the Systemic-Functional Analysis" Proceedings of Isfc 35: Voices Around the World, 78.
10. Svgva Prasad, Cm Anitha, K Ram Chandra, Vijaya Lakshmi, Ravi Chandran, B Annapurna. "Pesticide Spraying Robot: The Mechatronics Approach to Agriculture". International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education, Vol.14 No.5, 2022.
11. Dr. M. Esther Kalyani P. Hemalatha, Dr. K Ram Chandra, Dr. Shakila Azim, Dr. B. Annapurna, Dr. V. Nagalakshmi. "The Element of Emotional Intelligence and Their Impact on Social Relation". International Journal of Early Childhood Special Education. Vol.14 No.03 (2022), 7.
12. Ram Chandra Kalluri. "Effects of Covid-19: The Psychosocial Impact on Schools and College Admissions", Journal of Applied Science and Computations, Vol.8 No.10 (2021).
13. Shaik Shakeel Ahamad, Al-Sakib Khan Pathan," Trusted service manager (TSM) based privacy preserving and secure mobile commerce framework with formal verification", Complex Adaptive Systems Modeling, Volume 7, 1-18, 2019.
14. Nagarjun, P. M. D., and Shaik Shakeel Ahamad. "Review of Mobile Security Problems and Defensive Methods." International Journal of Applied Engineering Research 13.12 (2018): 10256-10259.
15. Ahamad, Shaik Shakeel, V. N. Sastry, and Siba K. Udgata. "Secure mobile payment framework based on UICC with formal verification." International Journal of Computational Science and Engineering 9.4 (2014): 355-370.
16. Ahamad, Shaik Shakeel, Ibrahim Al-Shourbaji, and Samaher Al-Janabi. "A secure NFC mobile payment protocol based on biometrics with formal verification." International Journal of Internet Technology and Secured Transactions 6.2 (2016): 103-132.
17. Ahamad, Shaik Shakeel, Siba K. Udgata, and V. N. Sastry. "A new mobile payment system with formal verification." International Journal of Internet Technology and Secured Transactions 4.1 (2012): 71-103.
18. Goel, V. & Dolan, R. J. 2000 Anatomical segregation of component processes in an inductive inference task. J. Cogn. Neurosci. 12, 110–119.
19. Goel, V. & Dolan, R. L. 2003 Explaining modulation of reasoning by belief. Cognition 87, 11–22.
20. Goel, V. & Grafman, J. 1995 Are the frontal lobes implicated in 'planning' functions? Interpreting data from the Tower of Hanoi. Neuropsychologia 33, 623–642.