Abstract
In the digital document analysis, handwritten character recognition is a challenging area. Various methods are proposed in the literature to identify the strike-outs in various languages. Kannada handwritten classification is a crucial machine vision problem due to its various practical applications in the development of recognition systems. Identifying of strikeout string on the given Kannada statement is an important challenge to develop robust recognition system. In the present study, pre-trained models such as DenseNet121, EfficientNetB0, MobileNet, InceptionResNetV2 are used to recognize strikeout Kannada words. Experimental analysis indicates that EfficientNetB0 performed better for strikeout Kannada words and InceptionResNetV2 results in higher performance for non-strikeout Kannada words.
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Introduction
In recent years, deep neural networks gaining popularity in machine vision problems due to their high performance in terms of the recognition rate. Computer Vision is the fast-growing field of Computer Science. To develop an efficient and generic handwriting recognition technology is an important challenge of this domain. It has a wide range of applications such as Translation of different scripts, reading of sign boards, archaeological applications, Forensic science, Publishing houses, Bank or Historic data analysis and assistance to blind persons for character recognition. With the help of handwritten recognition methods, digitization of huge number of documents is easily carried-out [1].

Handwriting recognition system has two modes such as online and offline. Optical character recognition is an example of offline. In online mode, a list of recoded pen tip movements for representing the handwritten character in online mode.

Kannada is a dravidian language, which is used for the communication in Karnataka state. It has 49 characters, out of which 13 are vowels and 34 are consonants and TWO yogavahakas. Classification accuracy of handwritten text recognition is highly affected by the presence of Strick-out text of the handwritten document. There are various challenges in recognition of handwritten Kannada scripts. Each person has his own handwriting style. Space between alphabets, words and lines are not uniform. The main important challenge with respect to Kannada language the non-availability of the dataset for the training purpose. It is very difficult to write combinations of each alphabet in Kannada script. According to literature, Deep learning models are more efficient on the classification problem in the field of computer vision. Using the advantages of these frameworks is carried. The work aims at bridging the gap between the state-of-the-art technology of deep learning techniques to automate Kannada handwritten character recognition.
Related works

[2] Some works related to the present work are analyzed. It investigates and improves the performance of handwritten text recognition method Convolutional Recurrent Neural Network (CRNN) on handwritten lines containing struck out words. A model, trained on the IAM line database was tested on lines containing struck-out words. The model shows superior performance with respect to struck-out text detection [2].

In another study, the identification and processing of struck-out texts in unconstrained handwritten document images is introduced. The model uses a combined approach (i) pattern classification and (ii) graph-based method for identifying texts. In case of (i), Support Vector Machine (SVM) classifier is used to classification and detect moderate-sized struck-out components. In case of (ii), skeleton of the text component is considered as a graph. The strike-out stroke is identified using a constrained shortest path algorithm. Experimental analysis is carried out using 500 pages of documents. The model obtained an overall 91.56% F-Measure in English (Bengali) script for struck-out text detection [3].

[4] Deep learning techniques are used for Kannada handwritten character recognition. Here, convolutional neural networks (CNN) is adopted for the classification. It is a robust, dynamic and swift method to recognition handwritten character. Performance of the model is achieved approximately 93.2 % and 78.73 % for the two different datasets. Similarly, [5] both CNN and Tesseract tool is used for Kannada handwritten character recognition. Here, CNN model achieves 87% and Tesseract tool shows 86% classification accuracy.

Kannada language has many confusing characters which cause high difficulties in extraction. The dataset which is used for experimental analysis has ten classes. A novel method is introduced to identify using Random forest and Support Vector Machine classifiers. It achieves 78% classification accuracy[6]. Non-overlapping lines of Kannada characters are recognized using CNN deep learning model. It uses Char74K dataset to build the model. The model achieves 98% classification accuracy [7]. Kannada numerals and handwritten characters recognition is performed with help of Artificial Neural Network. It also use wavelet transform for global feature extraction. The proposed method is experimented on 4800 images of handwritten Kannada characters and 1000 images of handwritten Kannada numerals. The model shows classification accuracy of 91.00%. and 97.60% for Kannada handwritten characters and Kannada numerals respectively [8].

Single Kannada and English character recognition is performed based on zone features. The experiment is performed using 2800 Kannada consonants and 2300 lowercase alphabets. It uses 32 X 32 normalized images and classification is performed with the help of SVM classifier. Here, preprocessed image is divided into nonoverlapping 64 zones to generate respective features. This model demonstrates average recognition accuracy 73.33% and 96.13% for Kannada consonants and English lowercase alphabets respectively [11].

In another approach, Devanagari (Hindi) handwritten character recognition is performed with the help of Histogram of Oriented Gradients (HOG). The model uses segmentation, pre-processing, feature extraction (It is performed by partitioning the image into six parts), classification and recognition steps. Artificial Neural Network is used for Individual characters classification. The model obtain classification accuracy of 97.06%[9]. Similarly, Urdu character recognition is performed using UNHD dataset. It has two steps such as character recognition using CNN for feature extraction and bi-directional Long-Short term memory technique for classification. It uses seven layers and followed by a B-LSTM layer. It achieves more than 83% accuracy[10]. Similarly, In another study, CNN is used to recognition of Devanagari script in India. Here, deep learning model is used as a feature extractor as well as a classifier for the recognition of 33 classes of basic characters of Devanagari ancient manuscripts. Dataset contains 5484 characters used for the experimental work. The model achieves 93.73% for Devanagari ancient character recognition [13]. Some of the popular deep learning algorithms are described as follows:

DenseNet121: Huang et al. [60] discussed DenseNet model to vanish gradient problem. Here, each layer is interconnected in a feed-forward manner. Features maps of all preceding layers are used as input to each layer, and their feature maps are used as inputs into all subsequent layers. This collective knowledge retained several advantages: an improved flow of information in the network alleviated the problem of relearning redundant features and decreased the number of learnable parameters. The DenseNet121 was the first model released from the DenseNet family with 121 convolutional layers. After that, researchers began experimenting with added convolutional layers and eventually released DenseNet169 with 169 convolutional layers. The DenseNet201 with 201 layers is the most recent advancement in the DenseNet models and outperforms all other deep CNN models.
EfficientNetB0: EfficientNet [16] is introduced in 2019. It efficiently handles classification tasks and demonstrates higher accuracy when used ImageNet dataset. EfficientNet has several input sizes (e.g., [B0] 224 × 224 to [B7] 600 × 600 input size). It mainly uses compound scaling and there are many various methods to scale a convolution network in terms of height, width or depth. Compound scaling improves the performance of the network [17].

MobileNet: MobileNet is a deep convolutional neural network model open-sourced by Google [15]. It is designed for mobile or embedded vision applications. It uses depth-wise separable convolution for lightweight computations. Depth-wise separable convolution consists of two factorized convolutions such as standard depth-wise convolution and pointwise convolution. The first phase is the depth-wise spatial convolution, where the convolution is done depth-wise for each input channel with a single filter. The following phase uses pointwise convolution, which applies 1 × 1 convolution to combine the output of depth-wise convolution, thereby reducing the computational cost. This method of factorizing the convolution process into two phases reduces the model’s size. MobileNet uses 28 convolutional layers with 3 × 3 depth-wise separable convolutions. All layers in the architecture are followed by batch normalization and ReLU nonlinear activation.

InceptionResNetV2: Inception-ResNet-v2 is a convolutional neural network. It is trained on more than a million images from the ImageNet database [18] [19]. This network is 164 layers deep and equals to raw charge of the newly announced InceptionV4 model.

In pattern recognition, identifying handwritten numerals are a complex knot. Pikes are used to identify the Kannada Numerals. Here, handwritten Kannada characters are captivated in document fashion. Consequently, preprocessing steps like noise removal, binarization, normalization, skew amendment, and thinning are performed. Features are extracted by using strategies such as Drift Length Count, Direction related progression code and Curvelet Transfiguration Wrapping. Here, deep convolution neural network classifier is adopted and obtain 96% accuracy [14].

In this section, various studies on handwritten text recognition, with a focus on methods for detecting struck-out text and methods for recognizing characters in several different scripts, including Kannada, English, Devanagari (Hindi), and Urdu. The studies use various techniques, including convolutional neural networks (CNNs), recurrent neural networks (RNNs), support vector machines (SVMs), and artificial neural networks (ANNs).

The studies show that CRNNs can achieve superior performance for detecting struck-out text, and that deep learning techniques can achieve high accuracy for character recognition in different scripts. For example, the studies report that a CNN-based model can achieve a classification accuracy of 93.2% for Kannada characters, a model using both CNN and Tesseract can achieve 87% accuracy for Kannada characters, a model using Random Forest and SVM classifiers can achieve 78% accuracy for Kannada characters, a CNN-based model can achieve 98% accuracy for non-overlapping lines of Kannada characters, and a model using ANN and wavelet transform can achieve 91% accuracy for Kannada characters and 97.6% accuracy for Kannada numerals.

The studies also mention some of the popular deep learning algorithms like DenseNet121, EfficientNetB0, and MobileNet that are used in the field of handwritten text recognition.

Research gaps from the article are not explicitly mentioned but from the above, it can be inferred that there is a scope for improvement in the recognition of Kannada characters as the accuracy reported is lower than that reported for other scripts such as English and Devanagari. Additionally, more research is needed to improve the recognition of struck-out text, as the performance of the CRNN-based model is not explicitly reported. Another gap could be that, the study mainly focuses on only few scripts and Handwritten text recognition in other languages could be an area of future research.

Methodology
The diagram of the methodology is shown in Fig.1. It includes dataset, pre-processing (binarisation), pre-trained deep learning models, and strike-out/non-strike-out outputs can be used to represent a workflow for image classification using deep learning.
**Data Collection**

The standard dataset with respect to Kannada strikeout words are not available. Hence, creation of own dataset is carried out with the support of various professionals from colleges and universities. Collected dataset contains multiple paragraphs of Kannada words. Various experiments are conducted to normalized the image size and final fixed into 255X255 which demonstrate the optimum loss. The normalized dataset contains two classes i.e Strikeout and without Strikeout. Totally, 100 samples, each class has 50 samples. Sample input image is shown in Fig 2.
Pre-processing

It is the step where the images are prepared for use in the deep learning model. Binarization is the process of converting an image to black and white by thresholding the pixel intensities. It can be useful to eliminate noise and improve the contrast of an image. The sample of binarization of Kannada handwritten document is shown in Fig 3.

![Fig 3: Binarization](image)

Pre-trained deep learning models

Pre-trained deep learning models that have been trained on a large dataset, such as MobileNet, InceptionResNetV2, DenseNet121 and EfficientNetB0 and can be fine-tuned for a specific task, such as image classification.

Strike-out/non-strike-out

These are the outputs of final predictions of the deep learning model, indicating whether an input image is a strike-out or a non-strike-out.

Experimental Setup

The own dataset is created for the experiments. According to literature survey there are several deep CNN architectures achieve competing accuracies. To find the best architectures for the task at hand, the following models are analyzed and compared: MobileNet, InceptionResNetV2, DenseNet121 and EfficientNetB0. Each of the models above were pre-trained on created strikeout hand written datasets weights with the input image of size 255×255. All the architectures are trained for 10 epochs with Adam as the optimization function, Relu as activation function and the learning rate as 0.001 in Google Colab. Tensorflow2 and Keras2 are used to build and evaluate the models.
Algorithm:
1. **Input:** Create images of two categories {Stakeout, Non-Strikeout}
2. **Environment:** Use Google Colab and Install the required libraries
3. **Configuration:** Import the images, configure training and testing and validate the model
4. Configure batch_size=30
5. **Directories Configuration:** Create two directories (SD1, NonSD2), SD1 is for training/testing and NonSD2 for validation.
6. **Training and Testing:** Create the model using MobileNet or InceptionResNetV2, or DenseNet121 or EfficientNetB0 and dense layers with ReLU activation function and output layer with a softmax activation function.
7. Compile the model using the ADAM optimizer with the learning rate as 0.001 and used loss function as Categorical_Crossentropy function
8. Ten epochs are used for Model fitting and to reduce learning rate ReduceLRonPlateau function
9. Model is saved for validation testing
10. Testing dataset is configured
   Generate performance score values for each fold:
   a. Classification report
   b. Confusion matrix
   c. AUC-ROC curve
   **Note:** Following Steps are performed 10 times
11. Validation: Load the model (MobileNet or InceptionResNetV2, or DenseNet121 or EfficientNetB0)
12. Load validation datasets
13. Perform the validation
14. Generate performance score values
   a. Confusion Matrix
   b. AUC-ROC curve

**Precision, Recall and F1-Score**
Precision, recall, and F1 score are evaluation metrics used to measure the accuracy of a classifier. Precision measures the proportion of correct positive predictions among all positive predictions. It is defined as the number of true positive predictions divided by the sum of true positive and false positive predictions. Recall measures the proportion of correct positive predictions among all actual positive instances. It is defined as the number of true positive predictions divided by the sum of true positive and false negative predictions. F1 score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, and represents the balance between precision and recall. It is defined as 2 times the product of precision and recall divided by the sum of precision and recall. The formulas for precision, recall and F1 score are presented in equation 1, equation 2 and equation 3.

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{\text{TRUEPOSITIVE}}{\text{TRUEPOSITIVE} + \text{FALSEPOSITIVE}} \quad \ldots \ldots 1
\]

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{\text{TRUEPOSITIVE}}{\text{TRUEPOSITIVE} + \text{FALSENEGATIVE}} \quad \ldots \ldots 2
\]

\[
\text{F1-Score} = \frac{2 \times (\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall})}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \quad \ldots \ldots 3
\]

where TRUEPOSITIVE is the number of true positive predictions, FALSEPOSITIVE is the number of false positive predictions, and FALSENEGATIVE is the number of false negative predictions.

Table 1 indicates Precision, Recall and F1-Score of four pre-trained deep learning models. For Strikeout class, EfficientNetB0 model shows high F1-score (67%) value as compared to other state-of-art deep learning models for Strikeout class recognition. Similarly, InceptionResNetV2 shows higher F1-score (60%) value as compared to other pre-trained deep learning models for without strikeout class. Without strikeout class, InceptionResNetV2 indicates highest 70% F1-score as compared to other state-of-art techniques.
### Table 1 Precision, Recall and F1-Score for the DEEP LEARNING models

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Models</th>
<th>Strikeout Precision</th>
<th>Strikeout Recall</th>
<th>Strikeout F1-score</th>
<th>Without Strikeout Precision</th>
<th>Without Strikeout Recall</th>
<th>Without Strikeout F1-score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DenseNet121</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EfficientNetB0</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MobileNet</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>InceptionResNetV2</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Support vector machine</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig. 4** ROC curve of Densenet121

**Fig. 5** ROC curve of EfficientNetB0
Fig. 6 ROC curve of MobileNet

Fig. 7 ROC curve of InceptionResNetV2

Fig. 8 ROC curve of Support vector machine
Fig. 4–Fig. 8 shows Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) for the handwritten Kannada script recognition. The ROC curve describes the trade-off between true positive rate and false positive rate. The curve closer to the 45-degree diagonal of the ROC space, the model is less accurate. InceptionResNetV2 model shows higher ROC score value as compared to all other pre-trained deep learning models.

Discussion
InceptionResNetV2 is another CNN model that has been trained on the large ImageNet dataset and has been shown to achieve high performance on various image classification tasks. It has a complex architecture that allows it to learn high-level features from the input images, which could be useful for detecting strikeout in handwritten documents.

In terms of performance evaluation, the F1-score is a commonly used metric for measuring the accuracy of a model in binary classification tasks like strikeout detection. The F1-score is the harmonic mean of precision and recall, and it gives a good indication of the trade-off between false positives and false negatives. A high F1-score indicates that the model is able to accurately detect strikeout in the majority of cases.

In summary, InceptionResNetV2 is a deep learning model that could potentially be used for detecting strikeout in Kannada handwriting and the F1-score can be used to evaluate the performance of the models.

Conclusion
In conclusion, the detection of strikeout in Kannada handwritten documents is a challenging problem due to the variability in handwriting styles and the complexity of the Kannada script. To address this problem, various approaches have been proposed, such as using computer vision and machine learning techniques, or Optical Character Recognition (OCR) with natural language processing, and using deep learning models like EfficientNetB0 and InceptionResNetV2. The present study is very useful for recognition of handwritten Kannada language with the support of pre-trained deep learning models. InceptionResNetV2 model shows efficient with respect to handwritten Kannada script recognition as compared to other state-of-models. The performance of these models is evaluated using commonly used metrics like F1-score, which gives a good indication of the trade-off between false positives and false negatives. In the future, more advanced techniques and models can be developed and implemented, such as using Attention-based models or Generative models and also more diverse dataset can be used to train these models. Additionally, research can be done to improve the robustness of these models to variations in handwriting styles and to improve the overall accuracy of strikeout detection in Kannada handwritten documents.
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