

Nano Hemiring Topological Structure Sapces

C. Malarvizhi ¹, Dr. P. Padmavati ²

Department of Mathematics,

¹Research Scholar, Sri GVG Visalakshi College for Women, Udumalpet, India.

²Associate Professor, Sri GVG Visalakshi College for Women, Udumalpet, India.

Abstract

This paper explores nano hemiring topological structure spaces by introducing the concepts of Na -separated hemirings and NaH -connectedness. Based on the foundations of NaH -open and NaH -closed hemirings, these notions are used to analyze separation and connectivity within such spaces. Various properties are investigated to better understand their roles and significance, providing deeper insight into the structure of nano hemiring topological spaces.

Keywords: Nano hemiring topological structure sapce, Na -open hemiring, Na -closed hemiring, Na -separated hemirings, NaH -connected space.

AMS Subject Classification: 54C10

1 Introduction

In relation to a subset of a universe that is defined in terms of approximations and boundary regions, Lellis Thivagar et al. [4] introduced nano topological space. Additionally, he examined the idea of continuity in nano topological spaces in [5]. Njåsted [7] introduced α -open set. In [3] Jeevitha extending the concepts of intuitionistic fuzzy hemiring by classical notion of hemirings through Atanassov's intuitionistic fuzzy sets. One of the topological characteristics used to differentiate topological spaces is connectedness. Numerous scholars have examined the fundamental characteristics of connectivity. Noiri [8], Reilly et al. [9], and Benchalli and Bansali [1] have all presented and studied stronger and weaker kinds of connectivity. In this paper, Nano hemiring topological structure spaces form an emerging area of study that blends algebraic structures with generalized topological concepts. In this context, the notions of NaH -open and NaH -closed hemirings play a fundamental role

in defining and analyzing structural properties. Building upon these concepts, the ideas of Na -separated hemirings and NaH -connectedness are introduced to further explore the nature of separation and connectivity within nano hemiring spaces. These notions help in characterizing how hemiring structures can be distinguished or linked under the framework of nano topology. Various properties of NaH -separation and NaH -connectedness are examined to provide deeper insight into their behavior and significance, thereby contributing to a more comprehensive understanding of nano hemiring topological structure spaces.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. [10]

Let U be a non empty finite set of objects called the universe and R be an equivalence relation on U named as indiscernibility relation. Then U is divided into disjoint equivalence classes. Elements belonging to the same equivalence class are said to be indiscernible with one another. The pair (U, R) is said to be approximation space.

Let $X \subseteq U$. Then

(i) The lower approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects ,which can be for certain

classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $L_R(X)$.

$L_R(X) = \bigcap_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \subseteq X \text{ where } R(x) \text{ denotes the equivalence class determined by } L_R(X)\};$

(ii) The upper approximation of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be possibly classified as X with respect to R and is denoted by $U_R(X)$.

$U_R(X) = \bigcup_{x \in U} \{R(x) : R(x) \cap X \neq \phi\}.$

(iii) The boundary region of X with respect to R is the set of all objects which can be classified neither as X nor as not- X with respect to R and it is denoted by $B_R(X)$.

$B_R(X) = U_R(X) - L_R(X).$

Proposition 2.1. [10] If (U, R) is an approximation space and $X, Y \subseteq U$, then

- (1) $L_R(X) \subseteq X \subseteq U_R(X)$
- (2) $L_R(\phi) = U_R(\phi) = \phi$
- (3) $L_R(U) = U_R(U) = U$
- (4) $U_R(X \cup Y) = U_R(X) \cup U_R(Y)$
- (5) $U_R(X \cap Y) \subseteq U_R(X) \cap U_R(Y)$
- (6) $L_R(X \cup Y) \supseteq L_R(X) \cup L_R(Y)$
- (7) $L_R(X \cap Y) = L_R(X) \cap L_R(Y)$
- (8) $L_R(X) \subseteq L_R(Y)$ and $U_R(X) \subseteq U_R(Y)$ whenever $X \subseteq Y$
- (9) $U_R(X^c) = [L_R(X)]^c$ and $L_R(X^c) = [U_R(X)]^c$
- (10) $U_R[U_R(X)] = L_R[U_R(X)] = U_R(X)$
- (11) $L_R[L_R(X)] = U_R[L_R(X)] = L_R(X).$

Definition 2.2. [6] Let U be the universe, R be an equivalence relation on U as $\tau_R(X) = \{U, \phi, L_R(X), U_R(X), B_R(X)\}$ where $X \subseteq U$. Then $\tau_R(X)$ satisfies the following axioms.

- (i) U and $\phi \in \tau_R(X)$.
- (ii) The union of all the elements of any sub-collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.
- (iii) The intersection of the elements of any finite sub collection of $\tau_R(X)$ is in $\tau_R(X)$.

Then $\tau_R(X)$ is a topology on U called the nano topology on U with respect to X . We call $(U, \tau_R(X))$ as a nano topological space. The elements of $\tau_R(X)$ are called as nano open sets. The complement of the nano open sets are called nano closed sets.

Definition 2.3. [6] If $(U, \tau_R(X))$ is a nano topological space with respect to X where $X \subseteq U$ and if $A \subseteq U$ then

- (i) The nano interior of A is defined as the union of all nano open subsets contained in A and is denoted by $Nint(A)$. That is $Nint(A)$ is the largest nano open subset of A .
- (ii) The nano closure of A is defined as the intersection of all nano closed sets containing A and is

denoted by $Ncl(A)$. That is $Ncl(A)$ is the smallest nano closed set containing A .

Definition 2.4. [4] Let $(U, \tau_R(X))$ be a nano topological space. Then $A \subseteq U$ is said to be a Nano α -open set [3] if $A \subseteq Nint(Ncl(Nint(A)))$. The complements of a Nano α -open set is called a Nano α -closed set.

Definition 2.5. [2] A hemiring is a non-empty set R on which operations of addition and multiplication have been defined such that the following conditions are satisfied :

- (1) $(R, +)$ is a commutative monoid with identity element 0;
- (2) (R, \cdot) is a semigroup;
- (3) Multiplication distributes over addition from either side;
- (4) $0 \cdot r = 0 = r \cdot 0$ for all $r \in R$.

3 NANO HEMIRING STRUCTURE SPACES

This section introduces the concept of nano hemiring structure spaces and establishes the foundational framework for their study. Beginning with the definition of nano hemiring structures based on an equivalence relation, we develop associated notions such as nano open and closed hemirings, interior and closure operators, and nano α -open sets. Furthermore, the concept of $N\alpha$ -separated hemirings and related properties are investigated through a series of propositions.

Definition 3.1. Let U be an Universe, R be an equivalence relation on U . Then the collection $\tau_R(H) = \{U, \phi, \underline{R}(H), R(H), B_R(H)\}$ where $H \subseteq U$ and H is a hemiring satisfies the following axioms:

- (i) U and $\phi \in \tau_R(H)$;
- (ii) The union of all elements of the subcollection of $\tau_R(H)$ is in $\tau_R(H)$;
- (iii) The intersection of any finite subcollection of $\tau_R(H)$ is in $\tau_R(H)$

is called a nano hemiring structure on U and the ordered pair $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is said to be a nano hemiring structure space. The elements of $\tau_R(H)$ are called nano open hemiring. The complement of nano open hemiring is nano closed hemiring.

Example 3.1. Let $U = \{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ with $U/R = \{\{0, 1\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4\}\}$ and $H = \{0, 1, 2\}$ where $H \subset R$ and H is hemiring. Also R is an equivalence relation. Thus $\underline{R}(H) = \{0, 1\}$, $R(H) = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ and $B_R(H) = \{2, 3\}$. Then $\tau_R(H) = \{\phi, U, \{0, 1\}, \{0, 1, 2, 3\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ is a nano hemiring structure. The ordered pair $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is said to be nano hemiring structure space.

Notation 3.1. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then the collection of all nano open hemiring is denoted by $NOH(U, \tau_R(H))$ and the collection of all nano closed hemiring is denoted by $NCH(U, \tau_R(H))$

Definition 3.2. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then for any $A \subseteq U$, the nano hemiring interior of A is denoted and defined by

$$NHint(A) = \bigcap \{B : B \subseteq A \text{ and } B \in NOH(U, \tau_R(H))\}.$$

Definition 3.3. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then for any $A \subseteq U$, the nano hemiring closure of A is denoted and defined by

$$NHcl(A) = \bigcap \{B : A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \in NCH(U, \tau_R(H))\}.$$

Definition 3.4. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Any $A \subseteq U$, is said to be a nano α -open hemiring if

$$A \subseteq NHint(NHcl(NHint(A))).$$

The complement of a nano α -open hemiring is a nano α -closed hemiring. Then the collection of all nano α -open hemirings is indicated by $NaOH(U, \tau_R(H))$ and the collection of all nano α -closed hemirings is indicated by $NaCH(U, \tau_R(H))$

Definition 3.5. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then for any $A \subseteq U$, the nano α -hemiring interior of A is denoted and defined by

$$NaHint(A) = \bigcup \{B : B \subseteq A \text{ and } B \in NaOH(U, \tau_R(H))\}.$$

Definition 3.6. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then for any $A \subseteq U$, the nano α -hemiring closure of A is denoted and defined by

$$NaHcl(A) = \bigcap \{B : A \subseteq B \text{ and } B \in NaCH(U, \tau_R(H))\}.$$

Definition 3.7. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then for any two non-empty subsets A and B are said to be Na -seperated hemirings iff $A \cap NaHcl(B) = \phi$ and $NaHcl(A) \cap B = \phi$. i.e.,

$$[A \cap NaHcl(B)] \cup [NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi.$$

Definition 3.8. If $U = A \cup B$ such that A and B are non-empty Na -seperated hemirings then A, B forms a NaH -seperation of U .

Definition 3.9. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space and $A \subseteq U$. A point $x \in U$ is said to be a NaH -adherent point of A if every Na -open hemiring containing x , contains at least one point of A .

Proposition 3.1. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Any two Na -seperated hemirings always disjoint.

Proof. Let A and B be two Na -seperated hemirings. Then $[A \cap NaHcl(B)] \cup [NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi$. Now, $[A \cap B] \subseteq [NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi$. This implies that $A \cap B = \phi$. Hence A and B are disjoint.

Proposition 3.2. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. If A and B are Na -seperated hemirings iff they are disjoint and neither of them contains limit point of the other. \square

Proof. Let A and B be Na -seperated hemirings. Then $[A \cap NaHcl(B)] = \phi$ and $[NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi$. Now, $[A \cap NaHcl(B)] = \phi$ implies $[A \cap NaHcl(B \cup B_i)] = \phi$ where B_i is the collection of all limit points of B . Therefore $A \cap B = \phi$ and $A \cap B_i = \phi$. Thus A and B are disjoint and A contains no limit point of B . Similarly, $[NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi$ implies $[(A \cup A_i) \cap B] = \phi$. where A_i is the collection of all limit points of A . Therefore $A \cap B = \phi$ and $A_i \cap B = \phi$. Thus A and B are disjoint and B contains no limit point of A .

Proposition 3.3. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Let A and B be Na -seperated hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$. If $C \subseteq A$ and $D \subseteq B$, then C and D are Na -seperated hemirings. \square

Proof. Let A and B be two Na -seperated hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$. Then, $A \cap NaHcl(B) = \phi$ and $NaHcl(A) \cap B = \phi$. Let $C \subseteq A$ and $D \subseteq B$. Then, $C \cap NaHcl(D) = \phi$ and $NaHcl(C) \cap D = \phi$. Thus C and D are Na -seperated hemirings.

Proposition 3.4. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then any two Na -closed hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$ are Na -seperated hemirings iff they are disjoint. \square

Proof. As two Na -seperated hemirings are disjoint, Na -closed hemirings are disjoint. Conversely, let A and B be two disjoint Na -closed hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$. Then

$NaHcl(A) = A$, $NaHcl(B) = B$ and $A \cap B = \phi$. Thus $[A \cap NaHcl(B)] = \phi$ and $[NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi$. Hence A and B are NaH -seperated. \square

Proposition 3.5. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space. Then any two

Na -open hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$ are NaH -seperated iff they are disjoint.

Proof. As two Na -seperated hemirings are disjoint, Na -open hemirings are disjoint. Conversely, let A and B be two disjoint Na -open hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$. Suppose $A \cap NaHcl(B) \neq \phi$. then there exists $x \in A$ and $x \in NaHcl(B)$. Thus x is a NaH -adherent point of B . Since A is a Na -open hemiring containg x and x is a NaH -adherent point of B , A contain atleast one point of B . Thus $A \cap B \neq \phi$.

This contradicts A and B are disjoint. Therefore $A \cap NaHcl(B) = \phi$. Similarly, $[NaHcl(A) \cap B] = \phi$. Hence A and B are NaH -seperated. \square

4 Nano α -Hemiring Connected Spaces

This section introduces the concept of NaH -connectedness in nano hemiring structure spaces and examines its fundamental properties through definitions, propositions, and related results.

Definition 4.1. A nano hemiring structure space $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is said to be NaH -connected if U cannot be written as a union of two disjoint non-empty nano α -open hemirings. If $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is not NaH -connected then it is NaH -disconnected.

Proposition 4.1. A nano hemiring structure space $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is NaH -disconnected if there exists a non-empty proper subset of U which is both NaH -open and NaH -closed.

Proof. Let A be a non-empty proper subset of U which is both NaH -open and NaH -closed. Then clearly, A^c is a non-empty proper subset of U which is both NaH -open and NaH -closed.. Thus $A \cap A^c = \phi$ and also $A \cup A^c = U$. Therefore U is the union of two non-empty Na -open hemirings. Hence U is NaH -disconnected. \square

Proposition 4.2. A nano hemiring structure space $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is NaH -disconnected iff U is the union of two non-empty disjoint Na -open hemirings.

Proof. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be NaH -disconnected. Then, there exists a non-empty proper subset A of U which is both NaH -open and NaH -closed. Thus, A^c is a non-empty subset of U that is both NaH -open and NaH -closed. Thus $U = A \cup A^c$ and $A \cap A^c = \phi$. Hence U is the union of two non-empty disjoint Na -open hemirings.

Conversely, let U be the union of two non-empty disjoint Na -open hemirings A and B . Then $B^c = A$. Now, B is Na -open and A is Na -closed. Since B is non-empty, A is a non-empty proper subset of U . By Proposition 4.1, $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is NaH -disconnected. \square

Proposition 4.3. For a nano hemiring structure space $(U, \tau_R(H))$; the following are equivalent:

- (i) $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is NaH -connected ;
- (ii) Only ϕ and U which are both Na -open and A is Na -closed hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H))$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

Let S be a Na -open and A is Na -closed hemiring of $(U, \tau_R(H))$. Then S^c is both

Na -closed hemiring of $(U, \tau_R(H))$. Since U is disjoint union of S and S^c , by assumption one of these must be empty. i.e., $S = \phi$ or $S = X$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

Suppose that $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is NaH -disconnected. Then by Proposition 4.1, there

exists a proper subset of U , which is both NaH -open and NaH -closed, which is a contradiction. Thus $(U, \tau_R(H))$ is NaH -connected. \square

Definition 4.2. Let $(U, \tau_R(H))$ be a nano hemiring structure space and $V \subseteq U$. The collection $\tau_R(H_1) = \{V \cap A : A \in \tau_R(H)\}$ is called a nano hemiring structure on V . Then the ordered pair $(V, \tau_R(H_1))$ is said to be a nano hemiring structure subspace of

$(U, \tau_R(H))$.

Proposition 4.4. If A and B are $Na\alpha$ -separated hemirings of $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$ and if $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$ is an NaH -connected subspace of $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$, then V lies entirely within A or B .

Proof. Since A and B are both NaH -open in $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$, $A \cap V$ and $B \cap V$ are NaH -open in $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$. Then, $A \cap V$ and $B \cap V$ are disjoint and $[A \cap V] \cup [B \cap V] = V$. If $A \cap V$ and $B \cap V$ are nonempty, then they are $Na\alpha$ -separated hemirings of $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$. Therefore, one of them is empty. Hence, V must lie entirely in A or in B .

Definition 4.3. Let $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$ and $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$ be two nano hemiring structure spaces. Any function $f : (U, \tau_R(H_1)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(H_2))$ is said to be NaH -irresolute if $f^{-1}(A)$ is a $Na\alpha$ -open hemiring of $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$ for every $Na\alpha$ -open hemiring A of $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$. \square

Proposition 4.5. Let $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$ and $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$ be two nano hemiring structure spaces. If $f : (U, \tau_R(H_1)) \rightarrow (V, \tau_R(H_2))$ is NaH -irresolute surjection and $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$ is NaH -connected then $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$ is NaH -connected.

Proof. Suppose that $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$ is not NaH -connected. Then, let $V = A \cup B$, where A and B are disjoint non-empty $Na\alpha$ -open hemirings in $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$. Since f is NaH -irresolute and onto, $U = f^{-1}(A) \cup f^{-1}(B)$ where $f^{-1}(A)$ and $f^{-1}(B)$ are disjoint non-empty $Na\alpha$ -open sets in $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$. This contradicts the fact that $(U, \tau_R(H_1))$ is NaH -connected. Hence, $(V, \tau_R(H_2))$ is NaH -connected.

References

- [1] Benchalli S. S., and Bansali P. M., "gb-compactness and gb-connectedness in topological spaces," *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, vol.10, No. 6, pp. 465-475, 2011.
- [2] Golan J. S., "Semirings and their applications," *Kluwer Acad. Publ.*, 1999.
- [3] Jeevitha R. and et al., "Intuitionistic fuzzy hemiring structure spaces," *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, vol.31, No. 4, pp. 629-645, 2025.
- [4] Lellis Thivagar.M and Carmel Richard, "On nano forms of weakly open sets," *International Journal of Mathematics and statistics Invention*, vol. 1, pp. 31-37, 2013.
- [5] Lellis Thivagar M., Saeid Jafari and Sutha Devi.V, "On new class of contra continuity in nano topology," *Italian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 41, pp. 1-12, 2017.
- [6] Lellis Thivagar M.,and C Richard, "Note on nano topological spaces," (communicated).
- [7] Njåstad O, "On some classes of nearly open sets," *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, vol.13, No. 3, pp. 961-970, 1965.
- [8] Noiri T., "A function which preserves connected spaces," *Cas. Pest. Mat.*, vol. 107, pp. 393-396, 1982.
- [9] Reilly I. L. and Vamanamurthy M. K., "Connectedness and strong semi-continuity," *Cas. Pest. Mat.*, vol. 109, pp. 261- 265, 1984.
- [10] Z.Pawlak, "Rough sets, Theoretical Aspects of Reasoning about Data," *Kluwer Academic Publishers*, Boston, 1991.